

Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment for Redditch Borough

Borough of Redditch Core Strategy Background Document

Appendix A - Included Sites Date: April 2013 0112 BA0872



Site Address:	Site Ref:	Survey Date:
Brush Factory, Evesham Road, Crabbs Cross	LP02 (BORLP4 site	
	124)	



Ownership Details:		Sit	e Area: 0.09ha
Private (dual ownership)		Gri	d Ref: SP04136473
Current Land Use:			
Vacant - was in employment use			
Surrounding Land Uses:			
			_
Character of Surrounding Area:			
Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS BORLP3	, WYG Re	port, omissior	n site, other)
New Source: (e.g. landowner, developed	etc)		
Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)			
Detailed Planning Permission:	\boxtimes	Details:	4 dwellings under construction, 6 dwellings complete
Outline Planning Permission:			
Previous Local Plan Allocation:			
Additional Information/site note Site under construction - no need	-	ss suitabilit	У

Stage A		
Conformity with Strategic Policy for Development Distribution/Settlement Hierarchy	Details	
Brownfield (previously developed) site that is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	\checkmark	
Greenfield or Green Belt site which is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough		
Any site (either brownfield, Greenfield or Green Belt) that is not within, or adjoining any settlement and is within Redditch Borough – site will be discounted		
Any site which falls within the WYG Study Boundary which may form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs beyond the Redditch Borough Boundary (site to be assessed under separate study)		

Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage	
Is a scheduled Ancient Monument located on the site?	Details
Yes: Site will be discounted	
No: Does the site fall within or significantly affect any other site of designated international, regional or local value, or affect habitat for protected flora or fauna? Does the site affect trees, hedgerows or areas of ancient woodland not subject to statutory protection?	\checkmark
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity	\checkmark
Yes:	
Opportunity to enhance/no significant adverse impact	
Significant adverse impact (mitigation to be explored)	
Significant adverse impact – site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Land at risk of Flooding	
Is the site in an area of known flooding risk?	Details
No: Little/no risk of flooding	\checkmark
Yes:	
Zone 1 – Little or no risk	
Zone 2 – Low to medium risk (mitigation to be explored)	
Zone 3 – High risk – Site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Stage C	
Availability	
Is the site immediately available for development?	
Yes	\checkmark
No	
What is the predominant land type on the site?	Details
Brownfield or Greenfield within or adjacent to a settlement Therefore available within 0 - 5 years	\checkmark
ADR	
Therefore available within 5 - 10 years	
Green Belt	
Therefore available beyond 10 years	


Achievability	
Willingness of landowner to progress site for development	Details
Yes, or issues which can be resolved within 5 years	\checkmark
Possibly, or with issues which can be resolved within 10 years	
No, issues which cannot be resolved	

Appropriate timeframe for development?	Details
0-5 years	\checkmark
5-10 years	
10years +	

Potential Residential Yield	
Appropriate Density	Total number of Dwellings
	6 dwellings u/c

MAOA SIZYANA ATIS ƏNIQUOH

	135) (BORLP4 site LP03	Rear of 144-162 Easemore Road
Survey Date:	:teRef:	Site Adress:



	Site /	Area: 0.43ha
Ownership Details: Private/Multiple		Ref: SP0471 6802
Current Land Use:		
Disused gardens		
Surrounding Land Uses: Residential		
Character of Surrounding Area:		
Character of Surrounding Area: Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS BORLP3 New Source: (e.g. landowner, develope		ite, other)
Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS BORLP3		ite, other)
Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS BORLP3 New Source: (e.g. landowner, develope Relevant Planning History:	etc)	ite, other) 07/152 - 24 dwellings (lapsed)
Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS BORLP3 New Source: (e.g. landowner, develope Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)	etc)	07/152 - 24 dwellings

Stage A		
Conformity with Strategic Policy for Development Distribution/Settlement Hierarchy	Details	
Brownfield (previously developed) site that is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough		
Greenfield or Green Belt site which is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	\checkmark	
Any site (either brownfield, Greenfield or Green Belt) that is not within, or adjoining any settlement and is within Redditch Borough – site will be discounted		
Any site which falls within the WYG Study Boundary which may form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs beyond the Redditch Borough Boundary (site to be assessed under separate study)		

Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage	
Is a scheduled Ancient Monument located on the site?	Details
Yes: Site will be discounted	
No: Does the site fall within or significantly affect any other site of designated international, regional or local value, or affect habitat for protected flora or fauna? Does the site affect trees, hedgerows or areas of ancient woodland not subject to statutory protection?	\checkmark
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity	\checkmark
Yes:	
Opportunity to enhance/no significant adverse impact	
Significant adverse impact (mitigation to be explored)	
Significant adverse impact – site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Land at risk of Flooding	
Is the site in an area of known flooding risk?	Details
No: Little/no risk of flooding	\checkmark
Yes:	
Zone 1 – Little or no risk	
Zone 2 – Low to medium risk (mitigation to be explored)	
Zone 3 – High risk – Site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Stage C	
Availability	
Is the site immediately available for development?	
Yes	\checkmark
No	
What is the predominant land type on the site?	Details
Brownfield or Greenfield within or adjacent to a settlement Therefore available within 0 - 5 years	\checkmark
ADR	
Therefore available within 5 - 10 years	
Green Belt	
Therefore available beyond 10 years	

Achievability	
Willingness of landowner to progress site for development	Details
Yes, or issues which can be resolved within 5 years	\checkmark
Possibly, or with issues which can be resolved within 10 years	
No, issues which cannot be resolved	

Appropriate timeframe for development?	Details
0-5 years	\checkmark
5-10 years	
10years +	

Potential Residential Yield	
Appropriate Density	Total number of Dwellings
	24 dwellings

Site Address:	Site Ref:	Survey Date:
Mayfield Works, The Mayfields	LP06	1) (O)
	(BORLP4 site 205)	



Ownership Details:		Site Area: 0.19ha	а
Private		Grid Ref: SP039	93 6672
Current Land Use:			
Vacant			
Surrounding Land Uses: Residential			
Character of Surrounding Area:			
Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS BORLP3 windfall commitment	WYG Report, om	ssion site, other)	
New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer	etc)		
Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)			
Detailed Planning Permission:	Deta	ils: 11/019 - 23 d	lwellings
Outline Planning Permission:			
Previous Local Plan Allocation:			
Additional Information/site notes Ground works underway	6:		

Stage A	
Conformity with Strategic Policy for Development Distribution/Settlement Hierarchy	Details
Brownfield (previously developed) site that is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	\checkmark
Greenfield or Green Belt site which is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	
Any site (either brownfield, Greenfield or Green Belt) that is not within, or adjoining any settlement and is within Redditch Borough – site will be discounted	
Any site which falls within the WYG Study Boundary which may form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs beyond the Redditch Borough Boundary (site to be assessed under separate study)	

Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage	
Is a scheduled Ancient Monument located on the site?	Details
Yes: Site will be discounted	
No: Does the site fall within or significantly affect any other site of designated international, regional or local value, or affect habitat for protected flora or fauna? Does the site affect trees, hedgerows or areas of ancient woodland not subject to statutory protection?	\checkmark
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity	\checkmark
Yes:	
Opportunity to enhance/no significant adverse impact	
Significant adverse impact (mitigation to be explored)	
Significant adverse impact – site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Land at risk of Flooding	
Is the site in an area of known flooding risk?	Details
No: Little/no risk of flooding	\checkmark
Yes:	
Zone 1 – Little or no risk	
Zone 2 – Low to medium risk (mitigation to be explored)	
Zone 3 – High risk – Site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Stage C	
Availability	
Is the site immediately available for development?	
Yes	\checkmark
No	
What is the predominant land type on the site?	Details
Brownfield or Greenfield within or adjacent to a settlement Therefore available within 0 - 5 years	\checkmark
ADR	
Therefore available within 5 - 10 years	
Green Belt	
Therefore available beyond 10 years	

Achievability	
Willingness of landowner to progress site for development	Details
Yes, or issues which can be resolved within 5 years	\checkmark
Possibly, or with issues which can be resolved within 10 years	
No, issues which cannot be resolved	

Appropriate timeframe for development?	Details
0-5 years	\checkmark
5-10 years	
10years +	

Potential Residential Yield	
Appropriate Density	Total number of Dwellings
	23 dwellings

Site Address:	Site Ref:	Survey Date:
Land adjacent to Castleditch Lane/Pheasant Lane	LPX02 (BORLP4 site 143)	3.9.2008



Redditch Borough Council – Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment 2010 143 - Land adjacent to Castleditch Lane/Pheasant Lane

Ownership Details:	Site Area: 0.52 ha
Private	Grid Ref: SP04446495
Current Land Use:	
Open Space	
Surrounding Land Uses:	
Open Space and Residential	
Character of Surrounding Area:	
Peaceful residential area with mix of mediur parkland opposite	n and low density dwellings and
Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, WYG Repo	rt, omission site, other)
Additional LP3 Site	
New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer etc)	
Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)	
Detailed Planning Permission:	Details:
Outline Planning Permission:	
Previous Local Plan Allocation:	
Additional Information/site notes:	
Policy B(HSG).1 (19 dwellings) Situated close to the predominantly private r quiet and fronting Oakenshaw Park. To the detached dwellings, to the south west, 3 bec	east of the site - very large 4 bed

Stage A	
Conformity with Strategic Policy for Development Distribution/Settlement Hierarchy	Details
Brownfield (previously developed) site that is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	
Greenfield or Green Belt site which is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	\checkmark
Any site (either brownfield, Greenfield or Green Belt) that is not within, or adjoining any settlement and is within Redditch Borough – site will be discounted	
Any site which falls within the WYG Study Boundary which may form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs beyond the Redditch Borough Boundary (site to be assessed under separate study)	

Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage	
Is a scheduled Ancient Monument located on the site?	Details
Yes: Site will be discounted	
No: Does the site fall within or significantly affect any other site of designated international, regional or local value, or affect habitat for protected flora or fauna? Does the site affect trees, hedgerows or areas of ancient woodland not subject to statutory protection?	~
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity	\checkmark
Yes:	
Opportunity to enhance/no significant adverse impact	Good hedgerows along west and south-eastern sides. This site borders Oakenshaw Spinney which is a "Special Wildlife Site".
Significant adverse impact (mitigation to be explored)	
Significant adverse impact – site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Land at risk of Flooding	
Is the site in an area of known flooding risk?	Details
No: Little/no risk of flooding	\checkmark
Yes:	
Zone 1 – Little or no risk	Ditch which drains site runs along western hedge line. Fields act as a flood plain for Oakenshaw Spinney, soaking up water & preventing flash flooding through the wood & properties below.

Zone 2 – Low to medium risk (mitigation to be explored)	
Zone 3 – High risk – Site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Stage B	
Other Environmental Issues:	
Impact on the historic, cultural and built environment	
How would the site affect the setting and character of a Listed Building or Conservation Area? How would the site impact on the existing character of the Settlement?	Details HER – Further evaluation may be required prior to development
Opportunity to enhance/no adverse impact	Hedgerows would need to be retained to north west of site where it abuts Oakenshaw Spinney.
Adverse impact/impact but could be mitigated	
Significant adverse impact that cannot be mitigated	

Sustainability:	
Access to Public Transport	
Is the site well related to a bus stop (or railway station)?	Details
Less than 400m (walking distance)	\checkmark
Between 400m and 800m (walking distance)	
Over 800m (walking distance) or ineffective service	

Access to services and facilities	
Walking distance to nearest first school:	
Less than 1.5km	\checkmark
Between 1.5km and 3km	
Over 3km	
Walking distance to nearest local retail facilities:	
Less than 800m	
Between 800m and 1600m	1
Over 1600m	
Walking distance to nearest health facilities:	
Less than 800m	\checkmark
Between 800m and 1600m	
Over 1600m	

Redditch Borough Council – Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment 2010 143 - Land adjacent to Castleditch Lane/Pheasant Lane

Level of Contamination on Site:	
None	\checkmark
Contamination that can be overcome through land remediation	
High level of contamination that cannot be realistically mitigated	
Are there any Physical Constraints on site?	
No	\checkmark
Yes, but can be overcome	
Yes and cannot be overcome	
Are there TPOs on site?	
No	\checkmark
A single TPO	
Group TPO	
Is there a Public Right of Way on the site?	
No	\checkmark
Yes	

Open Space & Recreation	
Would the site result in the loss of these facilities?	Details
No	\checkmark
Yes, but indication of replacement provision possible on, next to or near to the site as part of the Development	
Yes. No possibility of replacement provision	

Employment Land	
Would development of the site result in the loss of	Details
employment land?	
No	\checkmark
Yes - <u>demonstrated</u> that land will not come forward for	
employment uses	
Yes - land is not likely to come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is likely to come forward for employment uses	

Infrastructure Capacity	
Is the site considered adequately served by existing	Details
infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be	
adequately served?	
Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development	\checkmark
	•

Redditch Borough Council – Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment 2010 143 - Land adjacent to Castleditch Lane/Pheasant Lane

Infrastructure constraints that would require investment to overcome but can probably be addressed by developer contributions	
Significant infrastructure constraints, i.e. strategic infrastructure required which may require Government grants	
Highway Access	
Can the site be accessed by vehicle from the highway?	Details
Direct access to main/adopted road	\checkmark
Direct access to main/adopted road	
Access to unadopted road/track	


Compatibility with adjoining uses	
Would development of the site for residential uses be compatible with existing and/or proposed adjoining uses?	Details
No compatibility issues	\checkmark
Insignificant or moderate compatibility issues	
Residential development considered incompatible (discount site for residential)	

Stage C	
Availability	
Is the site immediately available for development?	
Yes	\checkmark
No	
What is the predominant land type on the site?	Details
Brownfield or Greenfield within or adjacent to a settlement Therefore available within 0 - 5 years	\checkmark
ADR	
Therefore available within 5 - 10 years	
Green Belt	
Therefore available beyond 10 years	

Achievability	
Willingness of landowner to progress site for development	Details
Yes, or issues which can be resolved within 5 years	See letter on P870(1)
Possibly, or with issues which can be resolved within 10 years	
No, issues which cannot be resolved	

Appropriate timeframe for development?	Details
0-5 years	\checkmark
5-10 years	
10years +	

Potential Residential Yield	
Appropriate Density	Total number of Dwellings
	16



HOUSING SITE ANALYSIS FORM

Site Address:	Site Ref:	Survey Date:
Former Claybrook School, Matchborough	LPX04	27.8.08
	(BORLP4 site	
	155)	



Redditch Borough Council – Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment 2010 155 - Former Claybrook School, Matchborough

Ownership Details:	Site Area: 0.74 ha	
Private	Grid Ref: SP07596625	
Current Land Use:		
Vacant		
Surrounding Land Uses:		
Residential		
Character of Surrounding Area:		
Site surrounded predominantly by high density e		
Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, WYG Report, om	ission site, other)	
Additional LP3 Site		
New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer etc)		
Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)		
Detailed Planning Permission: □ Detai	ls:	
Outline Planning Permission:		
Previous Local Plan Allocation:		
Additional Information/site notes		
Policy B(HSG).1 (20 dwellings)		
School has been demolished and site is currently being used be youths in the evenings – evidence of grafitti.		
Site is flat, surrounded by hedgerows.		
Access may be an issue as Dilwyn Close seems too narrow – possibility of accessing off Millhill Lane / Easenhall Close (roundabout?)		

Stage A	
Conformity with Strategic Policy for Development Distribution/Settlement Hierarchy	Details
Brownfield (previously developed) site that is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	\checkmark
Greenfield or Green Belt site which is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	
Any site (either brownfield, Greenfield or Green Belt) that is not within, or adjoining any settlement and is within Redditch Borough – site will be discounted	
Any site which falls within the WYG Study Boundary which may form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs beyond the Redditch Borough Boundary (site to be assessed under separate study)	

Is a scheduled Ancient Monument located on the	Details
site?	
Yes: Site will be discounted	
No: Does the site fall within or significantly affect any or designated international, regional or local value, or affect and the second secon	
flora or fauna? Does the site affect trees, hedgerows of	or areas of ancient
woodland not subject to statutory protection?	
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity	\checkmark
	\checkmark
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity Yes:	✓
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity	✓
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity Yes: Opportunity to enhance/no significant adverse impact	✓

Land at risk of Flooding	
Is the site in an area of known flooding risk?	Details
No: Little/no risk of flooding	\checkmark
Yes:	
Zone 1 – Little or no risk	
Zone 2 – Low to medium risk (mitigation to be explored)	
Zone 3 – High risk – Site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Stage B	
Other Environmental Issues:	
Impact on the historic, cultural and built environme	nt
How would the site affect the setting and character of a Listed Building or Conservation Area? How would the site impact on the existing character of the Settlement?	Details HER – Further evaluation may be required prior to development
Opportunity to enhance/no adverse impact	~
Adverse impact/impact but could be mitigated	
Significant adverse impact that cannot be mitigated	

Sustainability: Access to Public Transport	
Less than 400m (walking distance)	\checkmark
Between 400m and 800m (walking distance)	
Over 800m (walking distance) or ineffective service	

Access to services and facilities	
Walking distance to nearest first school:	
Less than 1.5km	\checkmark
Between 1.5km and 3km	
Over 3km	
Walking distance to nearest local retail facilities	
Less than 800m	\checkmark
Between 800m and 1600m	
Over 1600m	
Walking distance to nearest health facilities:	
Less than 800m	
Between 800m and 1600m	~
Over 1600m	

Level of Contamination on Site:	
None	\checkmark
Contamination that can be overcome through land remediation	
High level of contamination that cannot be realistically mitigated	
Are there any Physical Constraints on site?	
No	✓
Yes, but can be overcome	
Yes and cannot be overcome	
Are there TPOs on site?	
No	
A single TPO	
Group TPO	Warwick TPO 4 & 5
Is there a Public Right of Way on the site?	
No ✓	
Yes	

Open Space & Recreation	
Would the site result in the loss of these facilities?	Details
No	
Yes, but indication of replacement provision possible on, next to or near to the site as part of the development	May need to use part of ex-playing field for access – perhaps recreation facilities could be incorporated within scheme.
Yes. No possibility of replacement provision	

Employment Land Would development of the site result in the loss of	Details
employment land?	
No	\checkmark
Yes - <u>demonstrated</u> that land will not come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is not likely to come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is likely to come forward for employment uses	5
Infrastructure Capacity	
Is the site considered adequately served by existing infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served?	Details
Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development	✓
Infrastructure constraints that would require investment to overcome but can probably be addressed by developer contributions	
Significant infrastructure constraints, i.e. strategic infrastructure required which may require Government grants	

Highway Access	
Can the site be accessed by vehicle from the highway?	Details
Direct access to main/adopted road	
Access to unadopted road/track	
No access	Access an issue at this stage


Compatibility with adjoining uses	
Would development of the site for residential uses be compatible with existing and/or proposed adjoining uses?	Details
No compatibility issues	\checkmark
Insignificant or moderate compatibility issues	
Residential development considered incompatible (discount site for residential)	

Stage C	
Availability	
Is the site immediately available for development?	
Yes	\checkmark
No	
What is the predominant land type on the site?	Details
Brownfield or Greenfield within or adjacent to a settlement	~
Therefore available within 0 - 5 years	
ADR	
Therefore available within 5 - 10 years	
Green Belt	
Therefore available beyond 10 years	


Achievability	
Willingness of landowner to progress site for development	Details
Yes, or issues which can be resolved within 5 years	~
Possibly, or with issues which can be resolved within 10 years	
No, issues which cannot be resolved	

Appropriate timeframe for development?	Details
0-5 years	~
5-10 years	
10years +	

Potential Residential Yield	
Appropriate Density	Total number of Dwellings
	36



Site Address:	Site Ref:	Survey Date:
Fire Station & Millfields, Middle House Lane	LPX05 (BORLP4 site 156)	19.2.09



Ownership Details:	Site Area: 1.36 ha
Worcestershire County Council / RBC	Grid Ref: SP0415 6859
Current Land Use:	
Fire Station & Adult Learning Centre	
Surrounding Land Uses:	
Residential & Retail	
Residential & Retail Character of Surrounding Area:	
	ort, omission site, other)
Character of Surrounding Area:	ort, omission site, other)
Character of Surrounding Area: Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, WYG Rep	ort, omission site, other)
Character of Surrounding Area: Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, WYG Rep New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer etc) Relevant Planning History:	ort, omission site, other)
Character of Surrounding Area: Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, WYG Rep New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer etc) Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)	

Stage A	
Conformity with Strategic Policy for Development Distribution/Settlement Hierarchy	Details
Brownfield (previously developed) site that is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	\checkmark
Greenfield or Green Belt site which is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	
Any site (either brownfield, Greenfield or Green Belt) that is not within, or adjoining any settlement and is within Redditch Borough – site will be discounted	
Any site which falls within the WYG Study Boundary which may form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs beyond the Redditch Borough Boundary (site to be assessed under separate study)	

Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage	
Is a scheduled Ancient Monument located on the site?	Details
Yes: Site will be discounted	
No: Does the site fall within or significantly affect any other site of designated international, regional or local value, or affect habitat for protected flora or fauna? Does the site affect trees, hedgerows or areas of ancient woodland not subject to statutory protection?	\checkmark
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity	\checkmark
Yes:	
Opportunity to enhance/no significant adverse impact	
Significant adverse impact (mitigation to be explored)	
Significant adverse impact – site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Is the site in an area of known flooding risk?	Details
No: Little/no risk of flooding	✓ See email on file from Clive Wilson
Yes:	
Zone 1 – Little or no risk	
Zone 2 – Low to medium risk (mitigation to be explored)	
Zone 3 – High risk – Site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Stage B	
Other Environmental Issues:	
Impact on the historic, cultural and built environment	
How would the site affect the setting and character of a Listed Building or Conservation Area? How would the site impact on the existing character of the Settlement?	Details
Opportunity to enhance/no adverse impact	\checkmark
Adverse impact/impact but could be mitigated	
Significant adverse impact that cannot be mitigated	

Sustainability:	
Access to Public Transport	
Is the site well related to a bus stop (or railway station)?	Details
Less than 400m (walking distance)	\checkmark
Between 400m and 800m (walking distance)	
Over 800m (walking distance) or ineffective service	

Access to services and facilities Walking distance to nearest first school:	
Less than 1.5km	~
Between 1.5km and 3km	
Over 3km	
Walking distance to nearest local retail facilities:	
Less than 800m	\checkmark
Between 800m and 1600m	
Over 1600m	
Walking distance to nearest health facilities:	
Less than 800m	
Between 800m and 1600m	\checkmark
Over 1600m	

Constraints to Delivery Level of Contamination on Site:	
None	\checkmark
Contamination that can be overcome through land remediation	
High level of contamination that cannot be realistically mitigated	
Are there any Physical Constraints on site?	
No	\checkmark
Yes, but can be overcome	
Yes and cannot be overcome	
Are there TPOs on site?	
No	\checkmark
A single TPO	
Group TPO	
Is there a Public Right of Way on the site?	
No	\checkmark
Yes	

Open Space & Recreation	
Would the site result in the loss of these facilities?	Details
No	\checkmark
Yes, but indication of replacement provision possible on, next to or near to the site as part of the Development	
Yes. No possibility of replacement provision	

Employment Land	
Would development of the site result in the loss of	Details
employment land?	1
No	\checkmark
Yes - <u>demonstrated</u> that land will not come forward for	
employment uses	
Yes - land is not likely to come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is likely to come forward for employment uses	

Infrastructure Capacity		
Is the site considered adequately served by existing infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served?	Details	
Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development	\checkmark	
Infrastructure constraints that would require investment to overcome but can probably be addressed by developer contributions		
Significant infrastructure constraints, i.e. strategic infrastructure required which may require Government grants		
Highway Access		
Can the site be accessed by vehicle from the highway?	cessed by vehicle from the highway? Details	
Direct access to main/adopted road	\checkmark	
Access to unadopted road/track		
No access		

Compatibility with adjoining uses	
Would development of the site for residential uses be	Details
compatible with existing and/or proposed adjoining uses?	
No compatibility issues	\checkmark
Insignificant or moderate compatibility issues	
Residential development considered incompatible (discount site for residential)	

Stage C	
Availability	
Is the site immediately available for development?	
Yes	
No	\checkmark
What is the predominant land type on the site?	Details
Brownfield or Greenfield within or adjacent to a settlement Therefore available within 0 - 5 years	\checkmark
ADR	
Therefore available within 5 - 10 years	
Green Belt	
Therefore available beyond 10 years	

Achievability	
Willingness of landowner to progress site for development	Details
Yes, or issues which can be resolved within 5 years	
Possibly, or with issues which can be resolved within 10 years	\checkmark
No, issues which cannot be resolved	

Appropriate timeframe for development?	Details
0-5 years	
5-10 years	✓
10years +	

Potential Residential Yield	
Appropriate Density	Total number of Dwellings
	35







Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment HOUSING SITE ANALYSIS FORM **Redditch Borough Council**

Site Address:

Site Ref:

Survey Date: 29.8.08

LPX06

Former Ipsley School Playing Field

Ownership Details:	Site Area:
Worcestershire County Council	0.93 ha
	Grid Ref: SP0498 6575
Current Land Use:	
Vacant	
Surrounding Land Uses:	
Residential	
Character of Surrounding Area:	
Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, WYG Report, on	nission site, other)
Additional LP3 Site	
New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer etc)	
Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)	
Detailed Planning Permission: Detai	ils:
Outline Planning Permission:	
Previous Local Plan Allocation:	
Additional Information/site notes	
Policy B(HSG).1 (28 dwellings)	
See comment on LPX07. This site is completely inaccessible for amenity use at present.	
4	

Stage A	
Conformity with Strategic Policy for Development Distribution/Settlement Hierarchy	Details
Brownfield (previously developed) site that is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	
Greenfield or Green Belt site which is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	\checkmark
Any site (either brownfield, Greenfield or Green Belt) that is not within, or adjoining any settlement and is within Redditch Borough – site will be discounted	
Any site which falls within the WYG Study Boundary which may form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs beyond the Redditch Borough Boundary (site to be assessed under separate study)	

Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage	
Is a scheduled Ancient Monument located on the site?	Details
Yes: Site will be discounted	
No: Does the site fall within or significantly affect any or designated international, regional or local value, or affer flora or fauna? Does the site affect trees, hedgerows or woodland not subject to statutory protection?	ect habitat for protected
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity	
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity Yes:	-
-	✓ Need to protect hedgerows along boundaries of the site
Yes:	hedgerows along boundaries

Land at risk of Flooding	
Is the site in an area of known flooding risk?	Details
No: Little/no risk of flooding	\checkmark

Yes:	
Zone 1 – Little or no risk	
Zone 2 – Low to medium risk (mitigation to be explored)	
Zone 3 – High risk – Site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Stage B	
Other Environmental Issues:	
Impact on the historic, cultural and built environm	ent
How would the site affect the setting and character of a Listed Building or Conservation Area? How would the site impact on the existing character of the Settlement?	Details HER – may require further evaluation prior to development
Opportunity to enhance/no adverse impact	✓
Adverse impact/impact but could be mitigated	
Significant adverse impact that cannot be mitigated	

Sustainability:	
Access to Public Transport	
Is the site well related to a bus stop (or railway station)?	Details
Less than 400m (walking distance)	\checkmark
Between 400m and 800m (walking distance)	
Over 800m (walking distance) or ineffective service	

Access to services and facilities	
Walking distance to nearest first school:	
Less than 1.5km	~
Between 1.5km and 3km	
Over 3km	
Walking distance to nearest local retail facilities:	
Less than 800m	✓
Between 800m and 1600m	
Over 1600m	

Walking distance to nearest health facilities: Less than 800m	
Between 800m and 1600m	✓
Over 1600m	

Constraints to Delivery	
Level of Contamination on Site:	
None	\checkmark
Contamination that can be overcome through land remediation	
High level of contamination that cannot be realistically mitigated	
Are there any Physical Constraints on site?	
No	\checkmark
Yes, but can be overcome	
Yes and cannot be overcome	
Are there TPOs on site?	
No	\checkmark
A single TPO	
Group TPO	
Is there a Public Right of Way on the site?	
No	
Yes	

Open Space & Recreation	
Would the site result in the loss of these facilities?	Details
No	\checkmark
	urgent need for open space here, bearing in mind all of the housing built recently
Yes, but indication of replacement provision possible	
on, next to or near to the site as part of the development	
Yes. No possibility of replacement provision	

Employment Land	
Would development of the site result in the loss of employment land?	Details
No	\checkmark
Yes - <u>demonstrated</u> that land will not come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is not likely to come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is likely to come forward for employment uses	
Infrastructure Capacity	
Is the site considered adequately served by existing infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served?	Details
Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development	\checkmark
Infrastructure constraints that would require investment to overcome but can probably be addressed by developer contributions	
Significant infrastructure constraints, i.e. strategic infrastructure required which may require Government grants	

Highway Access	
Can the site be accessed by vehicle from the	Details
highway?	
Direct access to main/adopted road	\checkmark
Access to unadopted road/track	
No access	

Compatibility with adjoining uses	
Would development of the site for residential uses be compatible with existing and/or proposed adjoining uses?	Details
No compatibility issues	\checkmark
Insignificant or moderate compatibility issues	
Residential development considered incompatible (discount site for residential)	

Stage C		
Availability		
Is the site immediately available for development?		
Yes	\checkmark	
No		
What is the predominant land type on the site?	Details	
Brownfield or Greenfield within or adjacent to a settlement	~	
Therefore available within 0 - 5 years		
ADR		
Therefore available within 5 - 10 years		
Green Belt		
Therefore available beyond 10 years		


Achievability	
Willingness of landowner to progress site for development	Details
Yes, or issues which can be resolved within 5 years	~
Possibly, or with issues which can be resolved within 10 years	
No, issues which cannot be resolved	

Appropriate timeframe for development?	Details
0-5 years	\checkmark
5-10 years	
10years +	

Potential Residential Yield	
Appropriate Density	Total number of Dwellings
	31



Site Address:	Site Ref:	Survey Date:
Land South of Scout Hut, Oakenshaw Road	LPX07 (BORLP4 site	29.8.08
	` 158)	1





Redditch Borough Council – Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment 2010 158 – Land south of scout hut, Oakenshaw Road

Ownership Details:	Site Area:		
Redditch Borough Council	1.02 ha		
	Grid Ref: SP0489 6577		
Current Land Use:			
Open Space			
Surrounding Land Uses:			
Open Space and Residential			
Character of Surrounding Area:			
Predominantly 3 & 4 Bed detached dwellings Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, WYG Report, or	nission site, other)		
New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer etc)			
Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)			
Detailed Planning Permission: □ Deta	ils:		
Outline Planning Permission:			
Previous Local Plan Allocation:			
Additional Information/site notes			
Policy B(HSG).1 (31 dwellings)			
Access may be via Harris Close, which is predominantly 3 & 4 bed detached dwellings. This site should be developed in conjunction with LPX06. The pathway between the two sites is intimidating and routes through could be planned to offer better natural surveillance. This site is predominantly scrub land which offers no useful amenity land and there are pitches adjacent which could be enhanced as part of this development.			
Access through scouts' car park into the site. Car park in poor state of repair. A comprehensive development scheme which included car park area may offer an alternative parking arrangement for the scout hut or at least fund re-surfacing work.			

Conformity with Strategic Policy for Development Distribution/Settlement Hierarchy	Details
Brownfield (previously developed) site that is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	
Greenfield or Green Belt site which is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	~
Any site (either brownfield, Greenfield or Green Belt) that is not within, or adjoining any settlement and is within Redditch Borough – site will be discounted	
Any site which falls within the WYG Study Boundary which may form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs beyond the Redditch Borough Boundary (site to be assessed under separate study)	

Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage	
Is a scheduled Ancient Monument located on the site?	Details
Yes: Site will be discounted	
No: Does the site fall within or significantly affect any or designated international, regional or local value, or affect flora or fauna? Does the site affect trees, hedgerows or woodland not subject to statutory protection? ✓ No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity	ect habitat for protected
Yes:	
Opportunity to enhance/no significant adverse impact	
opportunity to enhancemo significant adverse impact	✓ Good hedgerows all the way round the site, particularly thick hedgerows with mature trees on the west side (an important habitat)
Significant adverse impact (mitigation to be explored)	way round the site, particularly thick hedgerows with mature trees on the west side (an

Land at risk of Flooding	
Is the site in an area of known flooding risk?	Details
No: Little/no risk of flooding	~

Redditch Borough Council – Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment 2010 158 – Land south of scout hut, Oakenshaw Road

Yes:	
Zone 1 – Little or no risk	
Zone 2 – Low to medium risk (mitigation to be explored)	
Zone 3 – High risk – Site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Stage B	
Other Environmental Issues:	
Impact on the historic, cultural and built environme	ent
How would the site affect the setting and character of a Listed Building or Conservation Area? How would the site impact on the existing character of the Settlement?	Details
Opportunity to enhance/no adverse impact	 HER – further evaluation may be required prior to development
Adverse impact/impact but could be mitigated	
Significant adverse impact that cannot be mitigated	

Sustainability:	
Access to Public Transport	
Is the site well related to a bus stop (or railway station)?	Details
Less than 400m (walking distance)	\checkmark
Between 400m and 800m (walking distance)	
Over 800m (walking distance) or ineffective service	

Access to services and facilities	
Walking distance to nearest first school:	
Less than 1.5km	✓
Between 1.5km and 3km	
Over 3km	
Walking distance to nearest local retail facilities:	
Less than 800m	
Between 800m and 1600m	\checkmark
Over 1600m	

Walking distance to nearest health facilities:	
Less than 800m	
Between 800m and 1600m	\checkmark
Over 1600m	

\checkmark
\checkmark
\checkmark

Open Space & Recreation	
Would the site result in the loss of these facilities?	Details
No	✓ urgent need for open space here, bearing in mind all of the housing built recently
Yes, but indication of replacement provision possible on, next to or near to the site as part of the development	
Yes. No possibility of replacement provision	

Employment Land Would development of the site result in the loss of	Details
employment land?	Details
No	1
	v
Yes - <u>demonstrated</u> that land will not come forward for	
employment uses	
Yes - land is not likely to come forward for employment	
USES	9
Yes - land is likely to come forward for employment uses	
Infrastructure Capacity	
Is the site considered adequately served by existing	Details
infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be	
adequately served?	
Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development	\checkmark
Infrastructure constraints that would require investment	
to overcome but can probably be addressed by	
developer contributions	
Significant infrastructure constraints, i.e. strategic	
infrastructure required which may require Government	
grants	

Highway Access	
Can the site be accessed by vehicle from the highway?	Details
Direct access to main/adopted road	\checkmark
Access to unadopted road/track	
No access	

Compatibility with adjoining uses	
Would development of the site for residential uses be compatible with existing and/or proposed adjoining uses?	Details
No compatibility issues	\checkmark
Insignificant or moderate compatibility issues	
Residential development considered incompatible (discount site for residential)	


Stage C	
Availability Is the site immediately available for development?	
No	\checkmark
What is the predominant land type on the site?	Details
Brownfield or Greenfield within or adjacent to a settlement	~
Therefore available within 0 - 5 years	
ADR	
Therefore available within 5 - 10 years	
Green Belt	
Therefore available beyond 10 years	


Achievability	
Willingness of landowner to progress site for development	Details
Yes, or issues which can be resolved within 5 years	\checkmark
Possibly, or with issues which can be resolved within 10 years	
No, issues which cannot be resolved	

Appropriate timeframe for development?	Details
0-5 years	~
5-10 years	
10years +	

Potential Residential Yield		
Appropriate Density	Total number of Dwellings	
	41	









Redditch Borough Council – Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment 2010 206 – Church Hill District Centre

Ownership Details:	Site Area:	
Redditch Borough Council	2.25 ha	
	Grid Ref: SP0652 6864	
Current Land Use:		
District Centre		
Surrounding Land Uses:		
Residential		
Character of Surrounding Area:		
New town district centre – looking dated and in r	need of regeneration	
Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, WYG Report, or	-	
Core strategy strategic site		
New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer etc)		
Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)		
Detailed Planning Permission: □ Detai	ls:	
Outline Planning Permission:		
Previous Local Plan Allocation:		
Additional Information/site notes		

Stage A	
Conformity with Strategic Policy for Development Distribution/Settlement Hierarchy	Details
Brownfield (previously developed) site that is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	\checkmark
Greenfield or Green Belt site which is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	
Any site (either brownfield, Greenfield or Green Belt) that is not within, or adjoining any settlement and is within Redditch Borough – site will be discounted	
Any site which falls within the WYG Study Boundary which may form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs beyond the Redditch Borough Boundary (site to be assessed under separate study)	

Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage	
Is a scheduled Ancient Monument located on the site?	Details
Yes: Site will be discounted	
No: Does the site fall within or significantly affect any designated international, regional or local value, or a flora or fauna? Does the site affect trees, hedgerows	ffect habitat for protected
woodland not subject to statutory protection? ✓ No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity	✓ ×
	✓
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity	√
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity Yes:	√ t

Land at risk of Flooding	
Is the site in an area of known flooding risk?	Details
No: Little/no risk of flooding	\checkmark
Yes:	
Zone 1 – Little or no risk	
Zone 2 – Low to medium risk (mitigation to be explored)	
Zone 3 – High risk – Site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Redditch Borough Council – Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment 2010 206 – Church Hill District Centre

Stage B	
Other Environmental Issues:	
Impact on the historic, cultural and built environme	nt
How would the site affect the setting and character of a Listed Building or Conservation Area? How would the site impact on the existing character of the Settlement?	Details HER should be contacted prior to development – high sensitivity
Opportunity to enhance/no adverse impact	
Adverse impact/impact but could be mitigated	~
Significant adverse impact that cannot be mitigated	

Sustainability:	
Access to Public Transport	
Is the site well related to a bus stop (or railway station)?	Details
Less than 400m (walking distance)	~
Between 400m and 800m (walking distance)	
Over 800m (walking distance) or ineffective service	

Access to services and facilities Walking distance to nearest first school:	
Less than 1.5km	\checkmark
Between 1.5km and 3km	
Over 3km	
Walking distance to nearest local retail facili	ties:
Less than 800m	\checkmark
Between 800m and 1600m	
Over 1600m	
Walking distance to nearest health facilities:	
Less than 800m	\checkmark
Between 800m and 1600m	
Over 1600m	

Level of Contamination on Site:	
None	\checkmark
Contamination that can be overcome through land remediation	
High level of contamination that cannot be realistically mitigated	
Are there any Physical Constraints on site?	
No	\checkmark
Yes, but can be overcome	
Yes and cannot be overcome	
Are there TPOs on site?	
No	
A single TPO	
Group TPO	NT TPO's 5 & 8
Is there a Public Right of Way on the site?	
No	
Yes	\checkmark

Open Space & Recreation	
Would the site result in the loss of these facilities?	Details
No	\checkmark
Yes, but indication of replacement provision possible on, next to or near to the site as part of the development	
Yes. No possibility of replacement provision	

Employment Land	
Would development of the site result in the loss of employment land?	Details
No	
No	V
Yes - <u>demonstrated</u> that land will not come forward for	
employment uses	
Yes - land is not likely to come forward for employment	
uses	
Yes - land is likely to come forward for employment	
uses	
Infrastructure Capacity	
Is the site considered adequately served by existing	Details
infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served?	
Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development	\checkmark
Infrastructure constraints that would require	
investment to overcome but can probably be	
addressed by developer contributions	
Significant infrastructure constraints, i.e. strategic	
infrastructure required which may require Government	

Highway Access	
Can the site be accessed by vehicle from the	Details
highway?	
Direct access to main/adopted road	\checkmark
Access to unadopted road/track	
No access	


Compatibility with adjoining uses	
Would development of the site for residential uses be compatible with existing and/or proposed adjoining uses?	Details
No compatibility issues	\checkmark
Insignificant or moderate compatibility issues	
Residential development considered incompatible (discount site for residential)	

Stage C	
Availability	
Is the site immediately available for development?	
Yes	
No	\checkmark
What is the predominant land type on the site?	Details
Brownfield or Greenfield within or adjacent to a settlement	\checkmark
Therefore available within 0 - 5 years	
ADR	
Therefore available within 5 - 10 years	
Green Belt	
Therefore available beyond 10 years	


Achievability	
Willingness of landowner to progress site for development	Details
Yes, or issues which can be resolved within 5 years	~
Possibly, or with issues which can be resolved within 10 years	
No, issues which cannot be resolved	

Appropriate timeframe for development?	Details
0-5 years	\checkmark
5-10 years	
10years +	

Potential Residential Yield	
Appropriate Density	Total number of Dwellings
	51



Site Address:	Site Ref:	Survey Date:
Matchborough District Centre	CS03 (BORLP4 site	27.8.08
	207)	





Redditch Borough Council – Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment 2010 207 – Matchborough District Centre

Ownership Details:	Site Area:
Redditch Borough Council	0.92 ha
	Grid Ref: SP0715 6641
Current Land Use:	
District Centre	
Surrounding Land Uses:	
Open Space and Residential	
Character of Surrounding Area:	
New town district centre – looking c	V
Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS,	WYG Report, omission site, other)
Core strategy strategic site	
New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer of	etc)
Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)	
Detailed Planning Permission:	Details:
Outline Planning Permission:	
Previous Local Plan Allocation:	
Additional Information/site notes	
Hill District Centre. However, given such a significant number of new u	developed in much the same way as Church the size of this Centre, it is unlikely to yield nits as Church Hill District Centre and without nsiderate estimate of 15 dwellings has been

Stage A	
Conformity with Strategic Policy for Development Distribution/Settlement Hierarchy	Details
Brownfield (previously developed) site that is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	~
Greenfield or Green Belt site which is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	
Any site (either brownfield, Greenfield or Green Belt) that is not within, or adjoining any settlement and is within Redditch Borough – site will be discounted	
Any site which falls within the WYG Study Boundary which may form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs beyond the Redditch Borough Boundary (site to be assessed under separate study)	

Is a scheduled Ancient Monument located on the site?	Details
Yes: Site will be discounted	
No: Does the site fall within or significantly affect any designated international, regional or local value, or a flora or fauna? Does the site affect trees, hedgerows	ffect habitat for protected
woodland not subject to statutory protection?	
woodland not subject to statutory protection? ✓ No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity	✓
	✓
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity	√ t
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity Yes:	

Land at risk of Flooding	
Is the site in an area of known flooding risk?	Details
No: Little/no risk of flooding	~
Yes:	
Zone 1 – Little or no risk	
Zone 2 – Low to medium risk (mitigation to be explored)	
Zone 3 – High risk – Site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Stage B	
Other Environmental Issues:	
Impact on the historic, cultural and built environme	nt
How would the site affect the setting and character of a Listed Building or Conservation Area? How would the site impact on the existing character of the Settlement?	Details HER – further evaluation may be required prior to development
Opportunity to enhance/no adverse impact	
Adverse impact/impact but could be mitigated	
Significant adverse impact that cannot be mitigated	

Sustainability:	
Access to Public Transport	
Is the site well related to a bus stop (or railway station)?	Details
Less than 400m (walking distance)	~
Between 400m and 800m (walking distance)	
Over 800m (walking distance) or ineffective service	

Access to services and facilities Walking distance to nearest first school:	
Less than 1.5km	\checkmark
Between 1.5km and 3km	
Over 3km	
Walking distance to nearest local retail faciliti	es:
Less than 800m	\checkmark
Between 800m and 1600m	
Over 1600m	
Walking distance to nearest health facilities:	
Less than 800m	
Between 800m and 1600m	✓
Over 1600m	

Constraints to Delivery	
Level of Contamination on Site:	
None	\checkmark
Contamination that can be overcome through land remediation	
High level of contamination that cannot be realistically mitigated	
Are there any Physical Constraints on site?	
No	
Yes, but can be overcome	\checkmark
Yes and cannot be overcome	
Are there TPOs on site?	
No	
A single TPO	
Group TPO	NT TPO 14
Is there a Public Right of Way on the site?	
No	
Yes	\checkmark

Open Space & Recreation	
Would the site result in the loss of these facilities?	Details
No	\checkmark
Yes, but indication of replacement provision possible on, next to or near to the site as part of the development	
Yes. No possibility of replacement provision	

Employment Land	
Would development of the site result in the loss of employment land?	Details
	,
No	\checkmark
Yes - <u>demonstrated</u> that land will not come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is not likely to come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is likely to come forward for employment uses	
Infrastructure Capacity	
Is the site considered adequately served by existing infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served?	Details
Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development	\checkmark
Infrastructure constraints that would require investment to overcome but can probably be addressed by developer contributions	
Significant infrastructure constraints, i.e. strategic infrastructure required which may require Government grants	

Highway Access	
Can the site be accessed by vehicle from the	Details
highway?	
Direct access to main/adopted road	\checkmark
Access to unadopted road/track	
No access	


Compatibility with adjoining uses	
Would development of the site for residential uses be compatible with existing and/or proposed adjoining uses?	Details
No compatibility issues	\checkmark
Insignificant or moderate compatibility issues	
Residential development considered incompatible (discount site for residential)	


Stage C	
Availability	
Is the site immediately available for development?	
Yes	
No	\checkmark
What is the predominant land type on the site?	Details
Brownfield or Greenfield within or adjacent to a settlement	~
Therefore available within 0 - 5 years	
ADR	
Therefore available within 5 - 10 years	
Green Belt	
Therefore available beyond 10 years	

Achievability	
Willingness of landowner to progress site for development	Details
Yes, or issues which can be resolved within 5 years	
Possibly, or with issues which can be resolved within 10 years	~
No, issues which cannot be resolved	

Appropriate timeframe for development?	Details
0-5 years	
5-10 years	\checkmark
10years +	

Potential Residential Yield	
Appropriate Density	Total number of Dwellings
	17





Ownership Details:	Site Area: 1.41 ha
Housing Association	Grid Ref: SP06210 6869
Current Land Use:	
Demolished Primary School	
Surrounding Land Uses:	
Residential and Open Space	
Character of Surrounding Area:	
Mix of open space and residential – majority of r corporation housing.	residential is high density ex-
Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, WYG Report, or	nission site, other)
WYG Report	
New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer etc)	
Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)	
Detailed Planning Permission: 🛛 Deta	ails: Planning consent granted 17/1/11
Outline Planning Permission:	
Previous Local Plan Allocation:	
Additional Information/site notes:	
WYG Report – 29 Dwellings	
Site bounded on three sides by high density ex-	corporation housing.
Access via Redstone close, which is private sen surrounding high density housing, significant pro open space as part of a comprehensive develop	ovision should be made for 'useful'

Stage A	
Conformity with Strategic Policy for Development Distribution/Settlement Hierarchy	Details
Brownfield (previously developed) site that is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	\checkmark
Greenfield or Green Belt site which is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	
Any site (either brownfield, Greenfield or Green Belt) that is not within, or adjoining any settlement and is within Redditch Borough – site will be discounted	
Any site which falls within the WYG Study Boundary which may form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs beyond the Redditch Borough Boundary (site to be assessed under separate study)	

Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage	
Is a scheduled Ancient Monument located on the site?	Details
Yes: Site will be discounted	
No: Does the site fall within or significantly affect any other site of designated international, regional or local value, or affect habitat for protected flora or fauna? Does the site affect trees, hedgerows or areas of ancient woodland not subject to statutory protection?	~
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity	
Yes: Good hedgerows along west and south-eastern sides.	
Opportunity to enhance/no significant adverse impact	
Significant adverse impact (mitigation to be explored)	✓ Good hedgerows and mature trees all around the north, west & south of site. These shall be protected as they are of high biodiversity value. Pond 29 to south of site has great crested newts (SP061685)
Significant adverse impact – site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Land at risk of Flooding	
Is the site in an area of known flooding risk?	Details
No: Little/no risk of flooding	\checkmark
Yes:	
Zone 1 – Little or no risk	
Zone 2 – Low to medium risk (mitigation to be explored)	
Zone 3 – High risk – Site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Redditch Borough Council – Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment 2010 204 - Marlfield Farm School, Redstone Close

Other Environmental Issues:	
Impact on the historic, cultural and built environment	
How would the site affect the setting and character of a Listed Building or Conservation Area? How would the site impact on the existing character of the Settlement?	Details
Opportunity to enhance/no adverse impact	\checkmark
Adverse impact/impact but could be mitigated	
Significant adverse impact that cannot be mitigated	

Sustainability:	
Access to Public Transport	
Is the site well related to a bus stop (or railway station)?	Details
Less than 400m (walking distance)	\checkmark
Between 400m and 800m (walking distance)	
Over 800m (walking distance) or ineffective service	

Access to services and facilities Walking distance to nearest first school:	
Less than 1.5km	✓
Between 1.5km and 3km	
Over 3km	
Walking distance to nearest local retail facilities:	
Less than 800m	
Between 800m and 1600m	✓
Over 1600m	
Walking distance to nearest health facilities:	
Less than 800m	\checkmark
Between 800m and 1600m	
Over 1600m	

Constraints to Delivery	
Level of Contamination on Site:	
None	\checkmark
Contamination that can be overcome through land remediation	
High level of contamination that cannot be realistically mitigated	
Are there any Physical Constraints on site?	
No	\checkmark
Yes, but can be overcome	i
Yes and cannot be overcome	
Are there TPOs on site?	
No	
A single TPO	
Group TPO	NT TPO 8
Is there a Public Right of Way on the site?	
No 🗸	
Yes	

Open Space & Recreation		
Would the site result in the loss of these facilities?	Details	
No		
Yes, but indication of replacement provision possible on, next to or near to the site as part of the Development		
Yes. No possibility of replacement provision	\checkmark	

Employment Land	
Would development of the site result in the loss of employment land?	Details
No	\checkmark
Yes - <u>demonstrated</u> that land will not come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is not likely to come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is likely to come forward for employment uses	

Infrastructure Capacity	
Is the site considered adequately served by existing infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served?	Details
Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development	\checkmark

Redditch Borough Council – Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment 2010 204 - Marlfield Farm School, Redstone Close

Infrastructure constraints that would require investment to overcome but can probably be addressed by developer contributions	
Significant infrastructure constraints, i.e. strategic infrastructure required which may require Government grants	
Highway Access	
Can the site be accessed by vehicle from the highway?	Details
Direct access to main/adopted road	✓ Via Redstone Close
Access to unadopted road/track	
No access	

Compatibility with adjoining uses	
Would development of the site for residential uses be compatible with existing and/or proposed adjoining uses?	Details
No compatibility issues	\checkmark
Insignificant or moderate compatibility issues	
Residential development considered incompatible (discount site for residential)	

Stage C	
Availability	
Is the site immediately available for development?	
Yes	\checkmark
No	
What is the predominant land type on the site?	Details
Brownfield or Greenfield within or adjacent to a settlement Therefore available within 0 - 5 years	\checkmark
ADR Therefore available within 5 - 10 years	
Green Belt Therefore available beyond 10 years	

Achievability	
Willingness of landowner to progress site for development	Details
Yes, or issues which can be resolved within 5 years	\checkmark
Possibly, or with issues which can be resolved within 10 years	
No, issues which cannot be resolved	


Appropriate timeframe for development?	Details
0-5 years	\checkmark
5-10 years	
10years +	

Potential Residential Yield

Appropriate Density	Total number of Dwellings	
	79	

HOUSING SITE ANALYSIS FORM

Site Address:	Site Ref:	Survey Date:
Widney House & adjoining land, Bromsgrove Rd	RB003	8.9.2008
	(BORLP4 site 208)	





Redditch Borough Council – Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment 2010 208 - Widney House & adjoining land, Bromsgrove Rd

Ownership Details:	Site Area: 2.24 ha	
Multiple owners	Grid Ref: SP0316 6760	
Current Land Use:		
Industrial & part unused/vacant		
Surrounding Land Uses:		
Residential & Open space		
Character of Surrounding Area:		
Mix of early 20 th century residential developmen	nt fronting main district distributor	
Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, WYG Report, on	nission site, other)	
New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer etc)		
Landowner		
Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)		
Detailed Planning Permission: 🗌 Details:		
Outline Planning Permission:		
Previous Local Plan Allocation:		
Additional Information/site notes:		
RB07 – Site could only be developed as part of a wider scheme as no independent access.		
RB08 – Some scrub land lost which is fenced off from public access.		
Access possible around 108-110 Bromsgrove Road.		

Stage A	
Conformity with Strategic Policy for Development Distribution/Settlement Hierarchy	Details
Brownfield (previously developed) site that is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	\checkmark
Greenfield or Green Belt site which is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	
Any site (either brownfield, Greenfield or Green Belt) that is not within, or adjoining any settlement and is within Redditch Borough – site will be discounted	
Any site which falls within the WYG Study Boundary which may form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs beyond the Redditch Borough Boundary (site to be assessed under separate study)	

Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage	
Is a scheduled Ancient Monument located on the site?	Details
Yes: Site will be discounted	
No: Does the site fall within or significantly affect any other site of designated international, regional or local value, or affect habitat for protected flora or fauna? Does the site affect trees, hedgerows or areas of ancient woodland not subject to statutory protection?	~
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity	
Yes:	
Opportunity to enhance/no significant adverse impact	✓ The eastern side of the site is a nice meadow area. Site is surrounded by good hedges & mature trees
Significant adverse impact (mitigation to be explored)	
Significant adverse impact – site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Land at risk of Flooding Is the site in an area of known flooding risk?	Details
No: Little/no risk of flooding	~
Yes:	
Zone 1 – Little or no risk	
Zone 2 – Low to medium risk (mitigation to be explored)	
Zone 3 – High risk – Site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Stage B	
Other Environmental Issues:	
Impact on the historic, cultural and built environment	
How would the site affect the setting and character of a Listed Building or Conservation Area? How would the site impact on the existing character of the Settlement?	Details HER – Further evaluation may be required prior to development
Opportunity to enhance/no adverse impact	✓ Opportunity to enhance
Adverse impact/impact but could be mitigated	
Significant adverse impact that cannot be mitigated	

Sustainability:	
Access to Public Transport	
Is the site well related to a bus stop (or railway station)?	Details
Less than 400m (walking distance)	\checkmark
Between 400m and 800m (walking distance)	
Over 800m (walking distance) or ineffective service	

Access to services and facilities	
Walking distance to nearest first school:	
Less than 1.5km	\checkmark
Between 1.5km and 3km	
Over 3km	
Walking distance to nearest local retail facilities:	
Less than 800m	\checkmark
Between 800m and 1600m	
Over 1600m	
Walking distance to nearest health facilities:	
Less than 800m	\checkmark
Between 800m and 1600m	
Over 1600m	

Constraints to Delivery	
Level of Contamination on Site:	
None	
Contamination that can be overcome through land remediation	As employment uses in place, would need to
	investigate prior to dev

Redditch Borough Council – Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment 2010 208 - Widney House & adjoining land, Bromsgrove Rd

High level of contamination that cannot be realistically mitigated	
Are there any Physical Constraints on site?	
No	\checkmark
Yes, but can be overcome	
Yes and cannot be overcome	
Are there TPOs on site?	
Νο	\checkmark
A single TPO	
Group TPO	
Is there a Public Right of Way on the site?	
No	
Yes	\checkmark
	Public right of way is unlit, narrow and unappealing. Opportunity to incorporate a safer pedestrian route through the site as part of its design

Open Space & Recreation	
Would the site result in the loss of these facilities?	Details
No	
Yes, but indication of replacement provision possible on, next to or near to the site as part of the Development	
Yes. No possibility of replacement provision	\checkmark

Employment Land	
Would development of the site result in the loss of	Details
employment land?	
No	✓ Widney Works not
	employment zoned in LP3
Yes - <u>demonstrated</u> that land will not come forward for	
employment uses	
Yes - land is not likely to come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is likely to come forward for employment uses	

Infrastructure Capacity	
Is the site considered adequately served by existing infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be	Details
adequately served?	
Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development	\checkmark
Infrastructure constraints that would require investment to overcome but can probably be addressed by developer contributions	

Significant infrastructure constraints, i.e. strategic infrastructure required which may require Government grants	
Highway Access	
Can the site be accessed by vehicle from the highway?	Details
Direct access to main/adopted road	\checkmark
Access to unadopted road/track	
No access	

Compatibility with adjoining uses	
Would development of the site for residential uses be compatible with existing and/or proposed adjoining uses?	Details
No compatibility issues	\checkmark
Insignificant or moderate compatibility issues	
Residential development considered incompatible (discount site for residential)	

Stage C	
Availability	
Is the site immediately available for development?	
Yes	\checkmark
No	
What is the predominant land type on the site?	Details
Brownfield or Greenfield within or adjacent to a settlement Therefore available within 0 - 5 years	\checkmark
ADR	
Therefore available within 5 - 10 years	
Green Belt	
Therefore available beyond 10 years	

Achievability	
Willingness of landowner to progress site for development	Details
Yes, or issues which can be resolved within 5 years	\checkmark
Possibly, or with issues which can be resolved within 10 years	
No, issues which cannot be resolved	

Appropriate timeframe for development?	Details
0-5 years	\checkmark
5-10 years	
10years +	

Potential Residential Yield

Appropriate Density	Total number of Dwellings
	40

HOUSING SITE ANALYSIS FORM





Redditch Borough Council – Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment 2010 200 – Land off Wirehill Drive

Ownership Details:	Site Area: 0.47 ha
Redditch Borough Council	Grid Ref: SP0492 6613
Current Land Use:	
Highway verge & Open Space	
Surrounding Land Uses:	
Residential	
Character of Surrounding Area:	
High density ex-corporation units	
Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, WYG Report, or	nission site, other)
UCS	
New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer etc)	
Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)	
Detailed Planning Permission: 🗌 Deta	ails: 08/305 (15 dwellings)
Outline Planning Permission:	
Previous Local Plan Allocation:	
Additional Information/site notes:	
Sloping site, with mature hedgerows dissecting the north of hedgerow should be retained to ma density dwellings.	

Stage A	
Conformity with Strategic Policy for Development Distribution/Settlement Hierarchy	Details
Brownfield (previously developed) site that is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	
Greenfield or Green Belt site which is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	\checkmark
Any site (either brownfield, Greenfield or Green Belt) that is not within, or adjoining any settlement and is within Redditch Borough – site will be discounted	
Any site which falls within the WYG Study Boundary which may form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs beyond the Redditch Borough Boundary (site to be assessed under separate study)	

Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage	
Is a scheduled Ancient Monument located on the site?	Details
Yes: Site will be discounted	
No: Does the site fall within or significantly affect any other site of designated international, regional or local value, or affect habitat for protected flora or fauna? Does the site affect trees, hedgerows or areas of ancient woodland not subject to statutory protection?	\checkmark
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity	
Yes: Good hedgerows along west and south-eastern sides.	
Opportunity to enhance/no significant adverse impact	✓ Good hedgerows around the site and a good meadow area – could be developed as a wild flower meadow area. Need to retain hedgerow
Significant adverse impact (mitigation to be explored)	need to retain need of the
Significant adverse impact – site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Land at risk of Flooding Is the site in an area of known flooding risk?	Details
No: Little/no risk of flooding	Grassland act to soak water up – particularly on slope
Yes:	
Zone 1 – Little or no risk Zone 2 – Low to medium risk (mitigation to be explored)	
Zone 3 – High risk – Site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Stage B	
Other Environmental Issues:	
Impact on the historic, cultural and built environment	Sec
How would the site affect the setting and character of a Listed Building or Conservation Area? How would the site impact on the existing character of the Settlement?	Details HER –further evaluation may be required prior to development
Opportunity to enhance/no adverse impact	No adverse impact if land north of hedgerow left open
Adverse impact/impact but could be mitigated	
Significant adverse impact that cannot be mitigated	

Sustainability: Access to Public Transport	
Is the site well related to a bus stop (or railway station)?	Details
Less than 400m (walking distance)	\checkmark
Between 400m and 800m (walking distance)	
Over 800m (walking distance) or ineffective service	

Access to services and facilities	
Walking distance to nearest first school:	
Less than 1.5km	\checkmark
Between 1.5km and 3km	
Over 3km	
Walking distance to nearest local retail facilities:	
Less than 800m	
Between 800m and 1600m	√
Over 1600m	
Walking distance to nearest health facilities:	
Less than 800m	
Between 800m and 1600m	√
Over 1600m	

Constraints to Delivery		
Level of Contamination on Site:		
None	\checkmark	
Contamination that can be overcome through land remediation		
High level of contamination that cannot be realistically mitigated		

Redditch Borough Council – Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment 2010 200 – Land off Wirehill Drive

Are there any Physical Constraints on site?	
No	
Yes, but can be overcome	Apparently land is made of unconsolidated soil from when highways were built – Investigation needed prior to development
Yes and cannot be overcome	
Are there TPOs on site?	
No	\checkmark
A single TPO	
Group TPO	
Is there a Public Right of Way on the site?	
No	
Yes	

Open Space & Recreation		
Would the site result in the loss of these facilities?	Details	
No		
Yes, but indication of replacement provision possible on, next to or near to the site as part of the Development		
Yes. No possibility of replacement provision	Currently used be local kids – play football on the top of the site	

Employment Land	
Would development of the site result in the loss of employment land?	Details
No	\checkmark
Yes - <u>demonstrated</u> that land will not come forward for	
employment uses	
Yes - land is not likely to come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is likely to come forward for employment uses	

Infrastructure Capacity	
Is the site considered adequately served by existing infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served?	Details
Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development	\checkmark
Infrastructure constraints that would require investment to overcome but can probably be addressed by developer contributions	
Significant infrastructure constraints, i.e. strategic infrastructure required which may require Government grants	

Highway Access	
Can the site be accessed by vehicle from the highway?	Details
Direct access to main/adopted road	\checkmark
Access to unadopted road/track	
No access	

Compatibility with adjoining uses	
Would development of the site for residential uses be compatible with existing and/or proposed adjoining uses?	Details
No compatibility issues	\checkmark
Insignificant or moderate compatibility issues	
Residential development considered incompatible (discount site for residential)	

Stage C	
Availability	
Is the site immediately available for development?	
Yes	\checkmark
No	
What is the predominant land type on the site?	Details
Brownfield or Greenfield within or adjacent to a settlement Therefore available within 0 - 5 years	\checkmark
ADR	
Therefore available within 5 - 10 years	
Green Belt	
Therefore available beyond 10 years	

Achievability	
Willingness of landowner to progress site for development	Details
Yes, or issues which can be resolved within 5 years	\checkmark
Possibly, or with issues which can be resolved within 10 years	
No, issues which cannot be resolved	

Appropriate timeframe for development?	Details
0-5 years	~
5-10 years	
10years +	

Potential Residential Yield	
Appropriate Density	Total number of Dwellings
	12



HOUSING SITE ANALYSIS FORM

Site Address:	Site Ref:	Survey Date:
Land to the rear of Sandygate Close	UCS 2.16	29.8.2008





Ownership Details:	Site Area: 0.20 ha
Redditch Borough Council	Grid Ref: SP0197 6681
Current Land Use:	
Open Space	
Surrounding Land Uses:	
Residential	
Character of Surrounding Area:	
Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS	, WYG Report, omission site, other)
New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer	r etc)
Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)	
Detailed Planning Permission:	Details:
Outline Planning Permission:	
Previous Local Plan Allocation:	
Additional Information/site note	S:
Mix of flats and medium density ho	ousing – some possibly ex-council properties

Stage A	
Conformity with Strategic Policy for Development Distribution/Settlement Hierarchy	Details
Brownfield (previously developed) site that is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	
Greenfield or Green Belt site which is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	\checkmark
Any site (either brownfield, Greenfield or Green Belt) that is not within, or adjoining any settlement and is within Redditch Borough – site will be discounted	
Any site which falls within the WYG Study Boundary which may form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs beyond the Redditch Borough Boundary (site to be assessed under separate study)	

Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage	
Is a scheduled Ancient Monument located on the site?	Details
Yes: Site will be discounted	
No: Does the site fall within or significantly affect any other site of designated international, regional or local value, or affect habitat for protected flora or fauna? Does the site affect trees, hedgerows or areas of ancient woodland not subject to statutory protection?	~
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity	✓ Good hedgerows along south-eastern side
Yes:	
Opportunity to enhance/no significant adverse impact	
Significant adverse impact (mitigation to be explored)	
Significant adverse impact – site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Land at risk of Flooding	
Is the site in an area of known flooding risk?	Details
No: Little/no risk of flooding	\checkmark
Yes:	
Zone 1 – Little or no risk	
Zone 2 – Low to medium risk (mitigation to be explored)	
Zone 3 – High risk – Site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Stage B	
Other Environmental Issues:	
Impact on the historic, cultural and built environment	dama and a second s
How would the site affect the setting and character of a Listed Building or Conservation Area? How would the site impact on the existing character of the Settlement?	Details HER – further evaluation may be required prior to development
Opportunity to enhance/no adverse impact	\checkmark
Adverse impact/impact but could be mitigated	
Significant adverse impact that cannot be mitigated	

Sustainability: Access to Public Transport	
Is the site well related to a bus stop (or railway station)?	Details
Less than 400m (walking distance)	\checkmark
Between 400m and 800m (walking distance)	
Over 800m (walking distance) or ineffective service	

Access to services and facilities	
Walking distance to nearest first school:	
Less than 1.5km	✓
Between 1.5km and 3km	
Over 3km	
Walking distance to nearest local retail facilities:	
Less than 800m	\checkmark
Between 800m and 1600m	
Over 1600m	
Walking distance to nearest health facilities:	
Less than 800m	
Between 800m and 1600m	\checkmark
Over 1600m	

Constraints to Delivery		
Level of Contamination on Site:		
None	\checkmark	
Contamination that can be overcome through land remediation		
High level of contamination that cannot be realistically mitigated		

Are there any Physical Constraints on site?	
No	\checkmark
Yes, but can be overcome	
Yes and cannot be overcome	
Are there TPOs on site?	
No	\checkmark
A single TPO	
Group TPO	
Is there a Public Right of Way on the site?	
No	
Yes	✓ Footpath through site – tarmac & street lighting

Open Space & Recreation	
Would the site result in the loss of these facilities?	Details
No	 Acts as a village green good landscape/amenity space for local residents. No play facilities on site – open grassland
Yes, but indication of replacement provision possible on, next to or near to the site as part of the Development	
Yes. No possibility of replacement provision	

Employment Land	
Would development of the site result in the loss of employment land?	Details
No	\checkmark
Yes - <u>demonstrated</u> that land will not come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is not likely to come forward for employment uses Yes - land is likely to come forward for employment uses	

Infrastructure Capacity	
Is the site considered adequately served by existing infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served?	Details
Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development	\checkmark
Infrastructure constraints that would require investment to overcome but can probably be addressed by developer contributions	
Significant infrastructure constraints, i.e. strategic infrastructure required which may require Government grants	

Highway Access	
Can the site be accessed by vehicle from the highway?	Details
Direct access to main/adopted road	\checkmark
Access to unadopted road/track	
No access	


Compatibility with adjoining uses	
Would development of the site for residential uses be compatible with existing and/or proposed adjoining uses?	Details
No compatibility issues	\checkmark
Insignificant or moderate compatibility issues	
Residential development considered incompatible (discount site for residential)	

Stage C	
Availability	
Is the site immediately available for development?	
Yes	
No	\checkmark
What is the predominant land type on the site?	Details
Brownfield or Greenfield within or adjacent to a settlement Therefore available within 0 - 5 years	\checkmark
ADR	
Therefore available within 5 - 10 years	
Green Belt	
Therefore available beyond 10 years	

Achievability	
Willingness of landowner to progress site for development	Details
Yes, or issues which can be resolved within 5 years	\checkmark
Possibly, or with issues which can be resolved within 10 years	
No, issues which cannot be resolved	

Appropriate timeframe for development?	Details
0-5 years	
5-10 years	✓
10years +	

Potential Residential Yield	and the second se
Appropriate Density	Total number of Dwellings
	8



HOUSING SITE ANALYSIS FORM

Site Address:	Site Ref:	Survey Date:
Playing field, Dingleside Middle School & Land to the rear of 1-11 Auxerre Avenue	UCS 8.38 (BORLP4 site 203)	19.9.08





Redditch Borough Council – Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment 2010 203 – Former Dingleside Middle School and RO 1-11 Auxerre Avenue

Ownership Details:	Site Area: 3.95 ha
Worcestershire County Council & Redditch Borough Council	Grid Ref: SP0564 6571
Current Land Use:	
Disused school, open space and garage blocks	
Surrounding Land Uses:	
Open space & residential	
Character of Surrounding Area:	
Sandwiched by Greenlands (traditional 1950's s Woodrow (1960's new town corporation housing of Redditch.	· · · /
Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, WYG Report, om	ission site, other)
UCS & additional LP3 site	
New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer etc)	
Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)	
Detailed Planning Permission: □ Detai	Is: 10/210
Outline Planning Permission: 🗸	
Previous Local Plan Allocation:	
Additional Information/site notes	
Disused school site incorporating its playing field already subject of a development brief. Potential of site as a whole which could provide S106 mod adjacent.	I for comprehensive development

Stage A	
Conformity with Strategic Policy for Development Distribution/Settlement Hierarchy	Details
Brownfield (previously developed) site that is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	
Greenfield or Green Belt site which is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	\checkmark
Any site (either brownfield, Greenfield or Green Belt) that is not within, or adjoining any settlement and is within Redditch Borough – site will be discounted	
Any site which falls within the WYG Study Boundary which may form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs beyond the Redditch Borough Boundary (site to be assessed under separate study)	

Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage	
Is a scheduled Ancient Monument located on the site?	Details - no
Yes: Site will be discounted	
No: Does the site fall within or significantly affect any other site of designated international, regional or local value, or affect habitat for protected flora or fauna? Does the site affect trees, hedgerows or areas of ancient woodland not subject to statutory protection?	
No:	\checkmark
Yes:	
Opportunity to enhance/no significant adverse impact	
Significant adverse impact (mitigation to be explored)	
Significant adverse impact – site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Land at risk of Flooding		
Is the site in an area of known flooding risk?	Details	
No: Little/no risk of flooding	\checkmark	
Yes:		
Zone 1 – Little or no risk		
Zone 2 – Low to medium risk (mitigation to be		

Redditch Borough Council – Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment 2010 203 – Former Dingleside Middle School and RO 1-11 Auxerre Avenue

explored)	
Zone 3 – High risk – Site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Stage B	
Other Environmental Issues:	
Impact on the historic, cultural and built environme	ent
How would the site affect the setting and character of a Listed Building or Conservation Area? How would the site impact on the existing character of the Settlement?	Details
Opportunity to enhance/no adverse impact	
Adverse impact/impact but could be mitigated	~
Significant adverse impact that cannot be mitigated	

Sustainability:	
Access to Public Transport	
Is the site well related to a bus stop (or railway station)?	Details
Less than 400m (walking distance)	\checkmark
Between 400m and 800m (walking distance)	
Over 800m (walking distance) or ineffective service	

Walking distance to nearest first school:	
Less than 1.5km	✓
Between 1.5km and 3km	
Over 3km	
Nalking distance to nearest local retail facilities	:
Less than 800m	
Between 800m and 1600m	✓
Over 1600m	
Valking distance to nearest health facilities:	
Less than 800m	×
Between 800m and 1600m	

Redditch Borough Council – Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment 2010 203 – Former Dingleside Middle School and RO 1-11 Auxerre Avenue

Over 1600m	

Constraints to Delivery	
Level of Contamination on Site:	
None	\checkmark
Contamination that can be overcome through land remediation	
High level of contamination that cannot be realistically mitigated	
Are there any Physical Constraints on site?	
No	\checkmark
Yes, but can be overcome	
Yes and cannot be overcome	
Are there TPOs on site?	
No	\checkmark
A single TPO	
Group TPO	
Is there a Public Right of Way on the site?	
No	
Yes	\checkmark

Open Space & Recreation	
Details	
\checkmark	

Employment Land	
Would development of the site result in the loss of employment land?	Details
No	\checkmark
Yes - <u>demonstrated</u> that land will not come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is not likely to come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is likely to come forward for employment uses	
Infrastructure Capacity	
Is the site considered adequately served by existing infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served?	Details
Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development	\checkmark
Infrastructure constraints that would require investment to overcome but can probably be addressed by developer contributions	
Significant infrastructure constraints, i.e. strategic infrastructure required which may require Government grants	

Highway Access	
Can the site be accessed by vehicle from the highway?	Details
Direct access to main/adopted road	\checkmark
Access to unadopted road/track	
No access	


Compatibility with adjoining uses	
Would development of the site for residential uses be compatible with existing and/or proposed adjoining uses?	Details
No compatibility issues	\checkmark
Insignificant or moderate compatibility issues	
Residential development considered incompatible (discount site for residential)	

Stage C	
Availability Is the site immediately available for development?	
No	\checkmark
What is the predominant land type on the site?	Details
Brownfield or Greenfield within or adjacent to a settlement	
Therefore available within 0 - 5 years	\checkmark
ADR	
Therefore available within 5 - 10 years	
Green Belt	
Therefore available beyond 10 years	

Achievability	
Willingness of landowner to progress site for development	Details
Yes, or issues which can be resolved within 5 years	\checkmark
Possibly, or with issues which can be resolved within 10 years	
No, issues which cannot be resolved	

Appropriate timeframe for development?	Details
0-5 years	~
5-10 years	
10years +	

Potential Residential Yield	
Appropriate Density	Total number of Dwellings
	180





Ownership Details:	Site Area: 0.31ha			
Redditch Borough Council	Grid Ref: SP0698 6848			
Current Land Use:				
Open Space				
Surrounding Land Uses:				
Residential				
Character of Surrounding Area:				
Former Development Corporation housing – mix	x of public and private tenure			
Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, WYG Report, or	nission site, other)			
Discounted from 2008/09 SHLAA due to floodin	g issues			
New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer etc)				
Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)				
Detailed Planning Permission: Details:				
Outline Planning Permission:				
Previous Local Plan Allocation:				
Additional Information/site notes:				
Flat site comprising of a tarmac area (formerly a play area) some shrubs and mature trees.				
Offers a natural extension to the existing Loxley	Close			

Stage A	
Conformity with Strategic Policy for Development Distribution/Settlement Hierarchy	Details
Brownfield (previously developed) site that is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	
Greenfield or Green Belt site which is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	\checkmark
Any site (either brownfield, Greenfield or Green Belt) that is not within, or adjoining any settlement and is within Redditch Borough – site will be discounted	
Any site which falls within the WYG Study Boundary which may form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs beyond the Redditch Borough Boundary (site to be assessed under separate study)	

Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage	
Is a scheduled Ancient Monument located on the site?	Details - No
Yes: Site will be discounted	
No: Does the site fall within or significantly affect any other site of designated international, regional or local value, or affect habitat for protected flora or fauna? Does the site affect trees, hedgerows or areas of ancient woodland not subject to statutory protection?	
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity	\checkmark
Yes:	
Opportunity to enhance/no significant adverse impact	
Significant adverse impact (mitigation to be explored)	
Significant adverse impact – site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Land at risk of Flooding	
Is the site in an area of known flooding risk?	Details
No: Little/no risk of flooding	
Yes:	
Zone 1 – Little or no risk	
Zone 2 – Low to medium risk (mitigation to be explored)	
Zone 3 – High risk – Site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	 Mitigation measures demonstrated

Stage B	
Other Environmental Issues:	
Impact on the historic, cultural and built environment	
How would the site affect the setting and character of a Listed Building or Conservation Area? How would the site impact on the existing character of the Settlement?	Details
Opportunity to enhance/no adverse impact	\checkmark
Adverse impact/impact but could be mitigated	
Significant adverse impact that cannot be mitigated	

Sustainability:	
Access to Public Transport	
Is the site well related to a bus stop (or railway station)?	Details
Less than 400m (walking distance)	\checkmark
Between 400m and 800m (walking distance)	
Over 800m (walking distance) or ineffective service	

Access to services and facilities	
Walking distance to nearest first school:	
Less than 1.5km	\checkmark
Between 1.5km and 3km	
Over 3km	
Walking distance to nearest local retail facilities:	
Less than 800m	\checkmark
Between 800m and 1600m	
Over 1600m	
Walking distance to nearest health facilities:	
Less than 800m	\checkmark
Between 800m and 1600m	
Over 1600m	
Constraints to Delivery	
Level of Contamination on Site:	
None	\checkmark
Contamination that can be overcome through land remediation	
High level of contamination that cannot be realistically mitigated	
Are there any Physical Constraints on site?	
No	
Yes, but can be overcome	

Yes and cannot be overcome	✓ Flooding Issues
Are there TPOs on site?	
No	
A single TPO	
Group TPO	✓ NT No.5
Is there a Public Right of Way on the site?	
No – Access to disused play area only	
Yes	

Open Space & Recreation	
Would the site result in the loss of these facilities?	Details
No	
Yes, but indication of replacement provision possible on, next to or near to the site as part of the Development	
Yes. No possibility of replacement provision	\checkmark

Employment Land	
Would development of the site result in the loss of employment land?	Details
No	\checkmark
Yes - <u>demonstrated</u> that land will not come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is not likely to come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is likely to come forward for employment uses	

Infrastructure Capacity	
Is the site considered adequately served by existing infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served?	Details
Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development	\checkmark
Infrastructure constraints that would require investment to overcome but can probably be addressed by developer contributions	
Significant infrastructure constraints, i.e. strategic infrastructure required which may require Government grants	
Highway Access	
Can the site be accessed by vehicle from the highway?	Details
Direct access to main/adopted road	\checkmark
Access to unadopted road/track	
No access	

Compatibility with adjoining uses	
Would development of the site for residential uses be compatible with existing and/or proposed adjoining uses?	Details
No compatibility issues	\checkmark
Insignificant or moderate compatibility issues	
Residential development considered incompatible (discount site for residential)	

Stage C

Availability		
Is the site immediately available for development?		
Yes	\checkmark	
No		
What is the predominant land type on the site?	Details	
Brownfield or Greenfield within or adjacent to a settlement Therefore available within 0 - 5 years	\checkmark	
ADR		
Therefore available within 5 - 10 years		
Green Belt		
Therefore available beyond 10 years		

Achievability	
Willingness of landowner to progress site for development	Details
Yes, or issues which can be resolved within 5 years	\checkmark
Possibly, or with issues which can be resolved within 10 years	
No, issues which cannot be resolved	

Appropriate timeframe for development?	Details
0-5 years	\checkmark
5-10 years	
10years +	

Potential Residential Yield	
Appropriate Density	Total number of Dwellings
32 dwellings per hectare	10









	Site Area: 0.15ha
Redditch Borough Council	Grid Ref: SP0696 6592
Current Land Use:	
Informal Open Space in front of ex-Deve	elopment Corporation houses
Surrounding Land Uses:	
Former Development Corporation housi	ing – mix of public and private tenure
Character of Surrounding Area:	
Higher density residential	
Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, WYG	Report, omission site, other)
New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer etc)	,
Landowner	
Landonnol	
Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)	
Relevant Planning History:	Details:
Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)	Details:

Stage A	
Conformity with Strategic Policy for Development Distribution/Settlement Hierarchy	Details
Brownfield (previously developed) site that is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	
Greenfield or Green Belt site which is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	\checkmark
Any site (either brownfield, Greenfield or Green Belt) that is not within, or adjoining any settlement and is within Redditch Borough – site will be discounted	
Any site which falls within the WYG Study Boundary which may form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs beyond the Redditch Borough Boundary (site to be assessed under separate study)	

Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage	
Is a scheduled Ancient Monument located on the site?	Details - No
Yes: Site will be discounted	
No: Does the site fall within or significantly affect any other site of designated international, regional or local value, or affect habitat for protected flora or fauna? Does the site affect trees, hedgerows or areas of ancient woodland not subject to statutory protection?	\checkmark
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity	\checkmark
Yes:	
Opportunity to enhance/no significant adverse impact	
Significant adverse impact (mitigation to be explored)	
Significant adverse impact – site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Land at risk of Flooding	
Is the site in an area of known flooding risk?	Details
No: Little/no risk of flooding	\checkmark
Yes:	
Zone 1 – Little or no risk	
Zone 2 – Low to medium risk (mitigation to be explored)	
Zone 3 – High risk – Site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Stage B Other Environmental Issues:	
Impact on the historic, cultural and built environment	
How would the site affect the setting and character of a Listed Building or Conservation Area? How would the site impact on the existing character of the Settlement?	Details
Opportunity to enhance/no adverse impact	\checkmark
Adverse impact/impact but could be mitigated	
Significant adverse impact that cannot be mitigated	

Sustainability:	
Access to Public Transport	
Is the site well related to a bus stop (or railway station)?	Details
Less than 400m (walking distance)	
Between 400m and 800m (walking distance)	\checkmark
Over 800m (walking distance) or ineffective service	

Walking distance to nearest first school:	
Less than 1.5km	\checkmark
Between 1.5km and 3km	
Over 3km	
Walking distance to nearest local retail facilities:	
Less than 800m	\checkmark
Between 800m and 1600m	
Over 1600m	
Walking distance to nearest health facilities:	
Less than 800m	
Between 800m and 1600m	\checkmark
Over 1600m	
Constraints to Delivery	
evel of Contamination on Site:	
None	\checkmark
Contamination that can be overcome through land remediation	
High level of contamination that cannot be realistically mitigated	
Are there any Physical Constraints on site?	
No	\checkmark
Yes, but can be overcome	
Yes and cannot be overcome	

Redditch Borough Council – Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment 2010 2010/05 - Clifton Close, Matchborough

Are there TPOs on site?	
No	✓ Blanket TPO, but no trees within site boundary
A single TPO	
Group TPO	
Is there a Public Right of Way on the site?	
No	\checkmark
Yes	

Open Space & Recreation		
Would the site result in the loss of these facilities?	Details	
No	Informal open space only	
Yes, but indication of replacement provision possible on, next to or near to the site as part of the Development		
Yes. No possibility of replacement provision		

Employment Land	
Would development of the site result in the loss of employment land?	Details
No	\checkmark
Yes - <u>demonstrated</u> that land will not come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is not likely to come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is likely to come forward for employment uses	

Infrastructure Capacity	
Is the site considered adequately served by existing infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served?	Details
Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development	\checkmark
Infrastructure constraints that would require investment to overcome but can probably be addressed by developer contributions	
Significant infrastructure constraints, i.e. strategic infrastructure required which may require Government grants	
Highway Access	
Can the site be accessed by vehicle from the highway?	Details
Direct access to main/adopted road	\checkmark
Access to unadopted road/track	
No access	

Compatibility with adjoining uses	
Would development of the site for residential uses be compatible with existing and/or proposed adjoining uses?	Details
No compatibility issues	\checkmark
Insignificant or moderate compatibility issues	
Residential development considered incompatible (discount site for residential)	

Stage C

Availability	
Is the site immediately available for development?	
Yes	\checkmark
No	
What is the predominant land type on the site?	Details
Brownfield or Greenfield within or adjacent to a settlement Therefore available within 0 - 5 years	\checkmark
ADR	
Therefore available within 5 - 10 years	
Green Belt	
Therefore available beyond 10 years	


Achievability	
Willingness of landowner to progress site for development	Details
Yes, or issues which can be resolved within 5 years	\checkmark
Possibly, or with issues which can be resolved within 10 years	
No, issues which cannot be resolved	

Appropriate timeframe for development?	Details
0-5 years	\checkmark
5-10 years	
10years +	

Potential Residential Yield	
Appropriate Density	Total number of Dwellings
40 dwellings per hectare	6



Site Address:	Site Ref:	Survey Date:
Prospect Hill	2010/07 (BORLP4 site 153)	03/9/10





	1
Ownership Details:	Site Area: 1.43 ha
Multiple Landowners	Grid Ref: SP0425 6799
Current Land Use:	
-	
Surrounding Land Uses:	
Mix of residential and employment, close to the	town centre
Character of Surrounding Area:	
Older part of Redditch with some new office dev century works.	velopment and converted early 20 th
Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, WYG Report, on	nission site, other)
Borough of Redditch Local Plan No. 3	
New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer etc)	
Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)	
Detailed Planning Permission: Deta	ails:
Outline Planning Permission:	
Previous Local Plan Allocation:	
Additional Information/site notes:	

Stage A	
Conformity with Strategic Policy for Development Distribution/Settlement Hierarchy	Details
Brownfield (previously developed) site that is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	\checkmark
Greenfield or Green Belt site which is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	
Any site (either brownfield, Greenfield or Green Belt) that is not within, or adjoining any settlement and is within Redditch Borough – site will be discounted	
Any site which falls within the WYG Study Boundary which may form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs beyond the Redditch Borough Boundary (site to be assessed under separate study)	

Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage	
Is a scheduled Ancient Monument located on the site?	Details - No
Yes: Site will be discounted	
No: Does the site fall within or significantly affect any other site of designated international, regional or local value, or affect habitat for protected flora or fauna? Does the site affect trees, hedgerows or areas of ancient woodland not subject to statutory protection?	
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity	\checkmark
Yes:	
Opportunity to enhance/no significant adverse impact	
Significant adverse impact (mitigation to be explored)	
Significant adverse impact – site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Land at risk of Flooding Is the site in an area of known flooding risk?	Details
No: Little/no risk of flooding	\checkmark
Yes:	
Zone 1 – Little or no risk	
Zone 2 – Low to medium risk (mitigation to be explored)	
Zone 3 – High risk – Site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Stage B	
Other Environmental Issues:	
Impact on the historic, cultural and built environment	
How would the site affect the setting and character of a Listed Building or Conservation Area? How would the site impact on the existing character of the Settlement?	Details
Opportunity to enhance/no adverse impact	\checkmark
Adverse impact/impact but could be mitigated	
Significant adverse impact that cannot be mitigated	

Sustainability:	
Access to Public Transport	
Is the site well related to a bus stop (or railway station)?	Details
Less than 400m (walking distance)	\checkmark
Between 400m and 800m (walking distance)	
Over 800m (walking distance) or ineffective service	

Walking distance to nearest first school:	
Less than 1.5km	\checkmark
Between 1.5km and 3km	
Over 3km	
Walking distance to nearest local retail facilities:	
Less than 800m	\checkmark
Between 800m and 1600m	
Over 1600m	
Walking distance to nearest health facilities:	
Less than 800m	\checkmark
Between 800m and 1600m	
Over 1600m	
Constraints to Delivery	
Level of Contamination on Site:	
None	\checkmark
Contamination that can be overcome through land remediation	
High level of contamination that cannot be realistically mitigated	
Are there any Physical Constraints on site?	
No	
Yes, but can be overcome	\checkmark
Yes and cannot be overcome	
Podditch Borough Council Stratogic Housing Land Availability Av	accomment 2010

Redditch Borough Council – Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment 2010 153 – Prospect Hill

Are there TPOs on site?	
No	\checkmark
A single TPO	
Group TPO	
Is there a Public Right of Way on the site?	
No	\checkmark
Yes	

Would the site result in the loss of these facilities?	Details
No	\checkmark
Yes, but indication of replacement provision possible on, next to or near to the site as part of the Development	
Yes. No possibility of replacement provision	

Employment Land	
Would development of the site result in the loss of employment land?	Details
No	\checkmark
Yes - <u>demonstrated</u> that land will not come forward for	
employment uses	
Yes - land is not likely to come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is likely to come forward for employment uses	

Infrastructure Capacity	
Is the site considered adequately served by existing infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served?	Details
Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development	\checkmark
Infrastructure constraints that would require investment to overcome but can probably be addressed by developer contributions	
Significant infrastructure constraints, i.e. strategic infrastructure required which may require Government grants	
Highway Access	
Can the site be accessed by vehicle from the highway?	Details
Direct access to main/adopted road	\checkmark
Access to unadopted road/track	
No access	


Compatibility with adjoining uses	
Would development of the site for residential uses be compatible with existing and/or proposed adjoining uses?	Details
No compatibility issues	\checkmark
Insignificant or moderate compatibility issues	
Residential development considered incompatible (discount site for residential)	

Stage C	
Availability	
Is the site immediately available for development?	
Yes	\checkmark
No	
What is the predominant land type on the site?	Details
Brownfield or Greenfield within or adjacent to a settlement Therefore available within 0 - 5 years	\checkmark
ADR Therefore available within 5 - 10 years	
Green Belt Therefore available beyond 10 years	

Willingness of landowner to progress site for development	Details
Yes, or issues which can be resolved within 5 years	\checkmark
Possibly, or with issues which can be resolved within 10 years	
No, issues which cannot be resolved	


Appropriate timeframe for development?	Details
0-5 years	\checkmark
5-10 years	
10years +	

Potential Residential Yield	
Appropriate Density	Total number of Dwellings
42-6 dwellings per hectare	71



HOUSING SITE ANALYSIS FORM

Site Address:	Site Ref:	Survey Date:
Rear of Alexandra Hospital	2010/09 (BORLP4 site	03/9/10
	210)	





Redditch Borough Council – Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment 2010 210 - Rear of Alexandra Hospital

Ownership Details:	Site Area: 7.74 ha
Multiple owners	Grid Ref: SP0617 6446
Current Land Use:	
Vacant Scrub land	
Surrounding Land Uses:	
Open Space, residential	
Character of Surrounding Area:	
Quiet and peaceful area on the edge of the urba the Alexandra Hospital and bounded by Green I development with a more rural feel. Site is bounded on it's western edge by Wirehill	Lane, which offers limited frontage
bed detached properties in private ownership.	
Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, WYG Report, or	nission site, other)
White Young Green	
New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer etc)	
Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)	
Detailed Planning Permission: 🗌 Deta	ails:
Outline Planning Permission:	
Previous Local Plan Allocation:	
Additional Information/site notes:	

Stage A	
Conformity with Strategic Policy for Development Distribution/Settlement Hierarchy	Details
Brownfield (previously developed) site that is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	
Greenfield or Green Belt site which is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	\checkmark
Any site (either brownfield, Greenfield or Green Belt) that is not within, or adjoining any settlement and is within Redditch Borough – site will be discounted	
Any site which falls within the WYG Study Boundary which may form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs beyond the Redditch Borough Boundary (site to be assessed under separate study)	

Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage	
Is a scheduled Ancient Monument located on the site?	Details - No
Yes: Site will be discounted	
No: Does the site fall within or significantly affect any other site of designated international, regional or local value, or affect habitat for protected flora or fauna? Does the site affect trees, hedgerows or areas of ancient woodland not subject to statutory protection?	
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity	\checkmark
Yes:	
Opportunity to enhance/no significant adverse impact	
Significant adverse impact (mitigation to be explored)	
Significant adverse impact – site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Land at risk of Flooding	
Is the site in an area of known flooding risk?	Details
No: Little/no risk of flooding	\checkmark
Yes:	
Zone 1 – Little or no risk	
Zone 2 – Low to medium risk (mitigation to be explored)	
Zone 3 – High risk – Site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Other Environmental Issues:	
Impact on the historic, cultural and built environment	. Q
How would the site affect the setting and character of a Listed Building or Conservation Area? How would the site impact on the existing character of the Settlement?	Details HER Protected – No Sensitivity - Unknown
Opportunity to enhance/no adverse impact	~
Adverse impact/impact but could be mitigated	
Significant adverse impact that cannot be mitigated	

Access to Public Transport	
Is the site well related to a bus stop (or railway station)?	Details
Less than 400m (walking distance)	
Between 400m and 800m (walking distance)	\checkmark
Over 800m (walking distance) or ineffective service	

Access to services and facilities	
Walking distance to nearest first school:	
Less than 1.5km	\checkmark
Between 1.5km and 3km	
Over 3km	
Walking distance to nearest local retail facilities:	
Less than 800m	
Between 800m and 1600m	\checkmark
Over 1600m	
Walking distance to nearest health facilities:	
Less than 800m	
Between 800m and 1600m	
Over 1600m	\checkmark
Constraints to Delivery	
Level of Contamination on Site:	
None	\checkmark
Contamination that can be overcome through land remediation	
High level of contamination that cannot be realistically mitigated	
Are there any Physical Constraints on site?	1
No	
Yes, but can be overcome	Sewer pipe runs across

Redditch Borough Council – Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment 2010 210 - Rear of Alexandra Hospital

	site. Mitigation possible.
Yes and cannot be overcome	
Are there TPOs on site?	
No	
A single TPO	
Group TPO	✓ NT TPO №.27
Is there a Public Right of Way on the site?	
No	
Yes	\checkmark

Open Space & Recreation	
Would the site result in the loss of these facilities?	Details
No	\checkmark
Yes, but indication of replacement provision possible on, next to or near to the site as part of the Development	
Yes. No possibility of replacement provision	

Employment Land	
Would development of the site result in the loss of employment land?	Details
No	\checkmark
Yes - <u>demonstrated</u> that land will not come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is not likely to come forward for employment uses Yes - land is likely to come forward for employment uses	

Infrastructure Capacity	
Is the site considered adequately served by existing infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served?	Details
Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development	\checkmark
Infrastructure constraints that would require investment to overcome but can probably be addressed by developer contributions	
Significant infrastructure constraints, i.e. strategic infrastructure required which may require Government grants	
Highway Access	
Can the site be accessed by vehicle from the highway?	Details
Direct access to main/adopted road	\checkmark
Access to unadopted road/track	
No access	

Compatibility with adjoining uses	
Would development of the site for residential uses be compatible with existing and/or proposed adjoining uses?	Details
No compatibility issues	\checkmark
Insignificant or moderate compatibility issues	
Residential development considered incompatible (discount	
site for residential)	


Stage C	
Availability	
Is the site immediately available for development?	
Yes	
No	\checkmark
What is the predominant land type on the site?	Details
Brownfield or Greenfield within or adjacent to a settlement Therefore available within 0 - 5 years	\checkmark
ADR Therefore available within 5 - 10 years	
Green Belt Therefore available beyond 10 years	

Achievability	
Willingness of landowner to progress site for development	Details
Yes, or issues which can be resolved within 5 years	
Possibly, or with issues which can be resolved within 10 years	\checkmark
No, issues which cannot be resolved	

Appropriate timeframe for development?	Details
0-5 years	
5-10 years	~
10years +	

Potential Residential Yield	
Appropriate Density	Total number of Dwellings
	145





Ownership Details:	Site Area: 13.08 ha	
HCA / some private ownership	Grid Ref: SP0812 6655	
Current Land Use:		
ADR – vacant land, including one or two resider	ntial units	
Surrounding Land Uses:		
Residential, employment, village settlement and	green belt	
Character of Surrounding Area:		
Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, WYG Report, omission site, other) Borough of Redditch Local Plan No. 3 New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer etc)		
Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)		
Detailed Planning Permission: Deta	ails:	
Outline Planning Permission:		
Previous Local Plan Allocation:		
Additional Information/site notes:		
Review of the A435 ADR and Adjoining Land (February 2013) was undertaken to better inform decisions regarding this site. Pockets of developable land were identified as follows:		
Area 1: 9.06 ha x 65% = 5.9 ha (net) @ 30 dph = 177 dwgs		
Area 2: 2.81 ha x 85% = 2.4 ha (net) @ 30 dph = 72 dwgs		
Broadacres Farm: 1.21 ha, limited development = 6 dwgs		

Stage A	
Conformity with Strategic Policy for Development Distribution/Settlement Hierarchy	Details
Brownfield (previously developed) site that is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	
Greenfield or Green Belt site which is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	\checkmark
Any site (either brownfield, Greenfield or Green Belt) that is not within, or adjoining any settlement and is within Redditch Borough – site will be discounted	
Any site which falls within the WYG Study Boundary which may form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs beyond the Redditch Borough Boundary (site to be assessed under separate study)	

Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage	
Is a scheduled Ancient Monument located on the site?	Details - No
Yes: Site will be discounted	
No: Does the site fall within or significantly affect any other site of designated international, regional or local value, or affect habitat for protected flora or fauna? Does the site affect trees, hedgerows or areas of ancient woodland not subject to statutory protection?	
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity	
Yes:	
Opportunity to enhance/no significant adverse impact	
Significant adverse impact (mitigation to be explored)	\checkmark
Significant adverse impact – site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Land at risk of Flooding	
Is the site in an area of known flooding risk?	Details
No: Little/no risk of flooding	
Yes:	
Zone 1 – Little or no risk	
Zone 2 – Low to medium risk (mitigation to be explored)	\checkmark
Zone 3 – High risk – Site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Stage B	
Other Environmental Issues:	
Impact on the historic, cultural and built environment	
How would the site affect the setting and character of a Listed Building or Conservation Area? How would the site impact on the existing character of the Settlement?	Details HER indicates high sensitivity which may require further investigation prior to development
Opportunity to enhance/no adverse impact	~
Adverse impact/impact but could be mitigated	
Significant adverse impact that cannot be mitigated	

Sustainability:	
Access to Public Transport	
Is the site well related to a bus stop (or railway station)?	Details
Less than 400m (walking distance)	
Between 400m and 800m (walking distance)	\checkmark
Over 800m (walking distance) or ineffective service	

Walking distance to nearest first school:	
Less than 1.5km	
Between 1.5km and 3km	\checkmark
Over 3km	
Walking distance to nearest local retail facilities:	
Less than 800m	
Between 800m and 1600m	\checkmark
Over 1600m	
Walking distance to nearest health facilities:	
Less than 800m	
Between 800m and 1600m	
Over 1600m	\checkmark
Constraints to Delivery	
Level of Contamination on Site:	
None	\checkmark
Contamination that can be overcome through land remediation	
High level of contamination that cannot be realistically mitigated	

No	\checkmark
Yes, but can be overcome	
Yes and cannot be overcome	
Are there TPOs on site?	
No	
A single TPO	
Group TPO	✓ Warwick TPO No.s 2, 3, 4, 5 & 7
Is there a Public Right of Way on the site?	
No	
Yes	\checkmark

Open Space & Recreation	
Would the site result in the loss of these facilities?	Details
No	\checkmark
Yes, but indication of replacement provision possible on, next to or near to the site as part of the Development	
Yes. No possibility of replacement provision	

Employment Land	
Would development of the site result in the loss of	Details
employment land?	
No	\checkmark
Yes - <u>demonstrated</u> that land will not come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is not likely to come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is likely to come forward for employment uses	

Infrastructure Capacity	
Is the site considered adequately served by existing	Details
infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served?	
Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development	\checkmark
Infrastructure constraints that would require investment to	
overcome but can probably be addressed by developer	
contributions	
Significant infrastructure constraints, i.e. strategic	
infrastructure required which may require Government	
grants	
Highway Access	
Can the site be accessed by vehicle from the highway?	Details
Direct access to main/adopted road	\checkmark
Access to unadopted road/track	
No access	


Compatibility with adjoining uses	
Would development of the site for residential uses be compatible with existing and/or proposed adjoining uses?	Details
No compatibility issues	\checkmark
Insignificant or moderate compatibility issues	
Residential development considered incompatible (discount site for residential)	

Stage C Availability Is the site immediately available for development? Yes No What is the predominant land type on the site? Details Brownfield or Greenfield within or adjacent to a settlement Therefore available within 0 - 5 years ADR Therefore available within 5 - 10 years Green Belt Therefore available beyond 10 years

Achievability	
Willingness of landowner to progress site for development	Details
Yes, or issues which can be resolved within 5 years	\checkmark
Possibly, or with issues which can be resolved within 10 years	
No, issues which cannot be resolved	

Appropriate timeframe for development?	Details
0-5 years	
5-10 years	\checkmark
10years +	

Potential Residential Yield	
Appropriate Density	Total number of Dwellings
	255



	1
Ownership Details:	Site Area: 16.4 ha
West of railway – Persimmon Homes	Grid Ref: SP0363 6891
Current Land Use:	
ADR – agricultural uses	
Surrounding Land Uses:	
Green Belt, employment, open space, residentia	al
Character of Surrounding Area:	
Located on the edge of the town's built form, off into the town. Adjacent employment areas com predominantly smaller industrial units plus large units mainly comprise the new Brockhill develop Batchley – 1950's council housing estate – both private tenures.	prise aging buildings, drop-forge company. Residential oment (completed early 2000's) and
Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, WYG Report, or	nission site, other)
Borough of Redditch Local Plan No. 3	
New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer etc)	
Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)	
Detailed Planning Permission: 🗌 Deta	ails:
Outline Planning Permission:	
Previous Local Plan Allocation:	
Additional Information/site notes:	

Stage A	
Conformity with Strategic Policy for Development Distribution/Settlement Hierarchy	Details
Brownfield (previously developed) site that is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	
Greenfield or Green Belt site which is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	\checkmark
Any site (either brownfield, Greenfield or Green Belt) that is not within, or adjoining any settlement and is within Redditch Borough – site will be discounted	
Any site which falls within the WYG Study Boundary which may form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs beyond the Redditch Borough Boundary (site to be assessed under separate study)	

Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage	
Is a scheduled Ancient Monument located on the site?	Details - No
Yes: Site will be discounted	
No: Does the site fall within or significantly affect any other site of designated international, regional or local value, or affect habitat for protected flora or fauna? Does the site affect trees, hedgerows or areas of ancient woodland not subject to statutory protection?	
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity	
Yes:	
Opportunity to enhance/no significant adverse impact	
Significant adverse impact (mitigation to be explored)	✓ Undulating landscape that requires a clever and sympathetic urban design strategy
Significant adverse impact – site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Land at risk of Flooding	
Is the site in an area of known flooding risk?	Details
No: Little/no risk of flooding	\checkmark
Yes:	
Zone 1 – Little or no risk	
Zone 2 – Low to medium risk (mitigation to be explored)	
Zone 3 – High risk – Site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Stage B	
Other Environmental Issues:	
Impact on the historic, cultural and built environment	
How would the site affect the setting and character of a Listed Building or Conservation Area? How would the site impact on the existing character of the Settlement?	Details HER indicates high sensitivity which may require further investigation prior to development
Opportunity to enhance/no adverse impact	~
Adverse impact/impact but could be mitigated	
Significant adverse impact that cannot be mitigated	

Sustainability: Access to Public Transport	
Less than 400m (walking distance)	
Between 400m and 800m (walking distance)	
Over 800m (walking distance) or ineffective service	\checkmark

Walking distance to nearest first school:	
Less than 1.5km	
Between 1.5km and 3km	\checkmark
Over 3km	
Walking distance to nearest local retail facilities:	
Less than 800m	
Between 800m and 1600m	\checkmark
Over 1600m	
Walking distance to nearest health facilities:	
Less than 800m	
Between 800m and 1600m	
Over 1600m	\checkmark
Constraints to Delivery	
Level of Contamination on Site:	
None	\checkmark
Contamination that can be overcome through land remediation	
High level of contamination that cannot be realistically mitigated	
Are there any Physical Constraints on site?	
No	

Yes, but can be overcome	✓ Undulating landscape High pressure gas pipeline
Yes and cannot be overcome	
Are there TPOs on site?	
No	
A single TPO	
Group TPO	✓ BOR TPO 98
Is there a Public Right of Way on the site?	
No	
Yes	\checkmark

Open Space & Recreation		
Would the site result in the loss of these facilities?	Details	
Νο	Structured open space provision would form part of any development proposal	
Yes, but indication of replacement provision possible on, next to or near to the site as part of the Development		
Yes. No possibility of replacement provision		

Employment Land	
Would development of the site result in the loss of	Details
employment land?	
No	\checkmark
Yes - <u>demonstrated</u> that land will not come forward for	
employment uses	
Yes - land is not likely to come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is likely to come forward for employment uses	

Infrastructure Capacity	
Is the site considered adequately served by existing infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served?	Details
Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development	
Infrastructure constraints that would require investment to overcome but can probably be addressed by developer contributions	✓ Highway and drainage issues are being addressed by the developers as part of pre-application preparations
Significant infrastructure constraints, i.e. strategic infrastructure required which may require Government grants	
Highway Access	
Can the site be accessed by vehicle from the highway?	Details
Direct access to main/adopted road	\checkmark
Access to unadopted road/track	
No access	

Compatibility with adjoining uses	
Would development of the site for residential uses be compatible with existing and/or proposed adjoining uses?	Details
No compatibility issues	\checkmark
Insignificant or moderate compatibility issues	
Residential development considered incompatible (discount site for residential)	

Stage C	
Availability	
Is the site immediately available for development?	4
Yes	\checkmark
No	
What is the predominant land type on the site?	Details
Brownfield or Greenfield within or adjacent to a settlement Therefore available within 0 - 5 years	
ADR Therefore available within 5 - 10 years	✓ Release of this ADR in years 0-5 will enable development of IN67
Green Belt Therefore available beyond 10 years	


Achievability	
Willingness of landowner to progress site for development	Details
Yes, or issues which can be resolved within 5 years	\checkmark
Possibly, or with issues which can be resolved within 10 years	
No, issues which cannot be resolved	

Appropriate timeframe for development?	Details
0-5 years	\checkmark
5-10 years	
10years +	

Potential Residential Yield	
Appropriate Density	Total number of Dwellings
	425

MAOA SIZYANA ATIS ƏNISUOH

L102/6/9	2010/11 (BORLP4 site 212)	Brockhill ADR – East of the railway
Survey Date:	:te Ref:	Site Address:



Ownership Details:	Site Area: 8 ha	
East of railway – Gallagher Estates	Grid Ref: SP0385 6910	
Current Land Use:		
ADR – agricultural uses		
Surrounding Land Uses:		
Green belt, residential, major road network, edg	e of urban fringe	
Character of Surrounding Area: Urban fringe/ agricultural setting on the edge of Redditch's urban area		
Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, WYG Report, or	nission site, other)	
Borough of Redditch Local Plan No. 3		
New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer etc)		
Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)		
Detailed Planning Permission: Detailed Planning Permission:	ails:	
Outline Planning Permission:		
Previous Local Plan Allocation:		
Additional Information/site notes: Potentially expecting to submit planning application for this site autumn 2011		

Stage A	
Conformity with Strategic Policy for Development Distribution/Settlement Hierarchy	Details
Brownfield (previously developed) site that is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	
Greenfield or Green Belt site which is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	\checkmark
Any site (either brownfield, Greenfield or Green Belt) that is not within, or adjoining any settlement and is within Redditch Borough – site will be discounted	
Any site which falls within the WYG Study Boundary which may form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs beyond the Redditch Borough Boundary (site to be assessed under separate study)	

Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage	
Is a scheduled Ancient Monument located on the site?	Details - No
Yes: Site will be discounted	
No: Does the site fall within or significantly affect any other site of designated international, regional or local value, or affect habitat for protected flora or fauna? Does the site affect trees, hedgerows or areas of ancient woodland not subject to statutory protection?	
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity	\checkmark
Yes:	
Opportunity to enhance/no significant adverse impact	
Significant adverse impact (mitigation to be explored)	
Significant adverse impact – site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Land at risk of Flooding	
Is the site in an area of known flooding risk?	Details
No: Little/no risk of flooding	
Yes:	
Zone 1 – Little or no risk	\checkmark
Zone 2 – Low to medium risk (mitigation to be explored)	
Zone 3 – High risk – Site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Stage B	
Other Environmental Issues:	
Impact on the historic, cultural and built environment	9
How would the site affect the setting and character of a Listed Building or Conservation Area? How would the site impact on the existing character of the Settlement?	Details HER indicates high sensitivity which may require further investigation prior to development
Opportunity to enhance/no adverse impact	
Adverse impact/impact but could be mitigated	~
Significant adverse impact that cannot be mitigated	

Sustainability:	
Access to Public Transport	
Is the site well related to a bus stop (or railway station)?	Details
Less than 400m (walking distance)	\checkmark
Between 400m and 800m (walking distance)	
Over 800m (walking distance) or ineffective service	

Walking distance to nearest first school:	
Less than 1.5km	\checkmark
Between 1.5km and 3km	
Over 3km	
Walking distance to nearest local retail facilities:	
Less than 800m	
Between 800m and 1600m	\checkmark
Over 1600m	
Walking distance to nearest health facilities:	
Less than 800m	
Between 800m and 1600m	
Over 1600m	\checkmark
Constraints to Delivery	
Level of Contamination on Site:	
None	\checkmark
Contamination that can be overcome through land remediation	
High level of contamination that cannot be realistically mitigated	

Are there any Physical Constraints on site?	
No	
Yes, but can be overcome	Consideration needs to be given to connectivity with land to the west of the railway
Yes and cannot be overcome	
Are there TPOs on site?	
No	
A single TPO	\checkmark
Group TPO	
Is there a Public Right of Way on the site?	
No	\checkmark
Yes	

Open Space & Recreation	
Would the site result in the loss of these facilities?	Details
No	\checkmark
Yes, but indication of replacement provision possible on, next to or near to the site as part of the Development	
Yes. No possibility of replacement provision	

Employment Land	
Would development of the site result in the loss of employment land?	Details
Νο	✓ However, the site is expected to meet mixed use development needs
Yes - <u>demonstrated</u> that land will not come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is not likely to come forward for employment uses Yes - land is likely to come forward for employment uses	

Infrastructure Capacity	
Is the site considered adequately served by existing infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served?	Details
Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development	
Infrastructure constraints that would require investment to overcome but can probably be addressed by developer contributions	✓ Need for major junction upgrades at A441/ Weights Lane and future provision of Bordesley Bypass needs to be integrated into the scheme
Significant infrastructure constraints, i.e. strategic infrastructure required which may require Government grants	

Highway Access	
Can the site be accessed by vehicle from the highway?	Details
Direct access to main/adopted road	\checkmark
Access to unadopted road/track	
No access	


Compatibility with adjoining uses	
Would development of the site for residential uses be compatible with existing and/or proposed adjoining uses?	Details
No compatibility issues	\checkmark
Insignificant or moderate compatibility issues	
Residential development considered incompatible (discount site for residential)	

Stage C	
Availability	
Is the site immediately available for development?	
Yes	\checkmark
No	
What is the predominant land type on the site?	Details
Brownfield or Greenfield within or adjacent to a settlement Therefore available within 0 - 5 years	
ADR Therefore available within 5 - 10 years	\checkmark
Green Belt	
Therefore available beyond 10 years	


Achievability	
Willingness of landowner to progress site for development	Details
Yes, or issues which can be resolved within 5 years	\checkmark
Possibly, or with issues which can be resolved within 10 years	
No, issues which cannot be resolved	

Appropriate timeframe for development?	Details
0-5 years	
5-10 years	✓
10years +	

Potential Residential Yield	
Appropriate Density	Total number of Dwellings
	200



Site Address:	Site Ref:	Survey Date:
Brockhill East Green Belt	2010/13 (BORLP4 site	03/9/10
	212)	



Ownership Details: Multiple owners	Site Area: 27.73 ha Grid Ref: SP0329 6894
Current Land Use:	
Green Belt - agricultural	
Surrounding Land Uses:	
Land on northern edge of the Borough, adjacen employment.	t to ADR, and Brockhill estate and
Character of Surrounding Area:	
Located at the edge of the town's built form, offer into the town. Nearby employment areas comp smaller industrial units plus large drop-forge cor comprise the new Brockhill development (comp 1950's council housing estate – both providing a	rise aging buildings, predominantly mpany. Residential units mainly leted early 2000's) and Batchley –
Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, WYG Report, or	
New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer etc)	
Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)	
Detailed Planning Permission: Deta	ails:
Outline Planning Permission:	
Previous Local Plan Allocation:	
Additional Information/site notes:	

Stage A	
Conformity with Strategic Policy for Development Distribution/Settlement Hierarchy	Details
Brownfield (previously developed) site that is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	
Greenfield or Green Belt site which is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	\checkmark
Any site (either brownfield, Greenfield or Green Belt) that is not within, or adjoining any settlement and is within Redditch Borough – site will be discounted	
Any site which falls within the WYG Study Boundary which may form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs beyond the Redditch Borough Boundary (site to be assessed under separate study)	

Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage	
Is a scheduled Ancient Monument located on the site?	Details - No
Yes: Site will be discounted	
No: Does the site fall within or significantly affect any other site of designated international, regional or local value, or affect habitat for protected flora or fauna? Does the site affect trees, hedgerows or areas of ancient woodland not subject to statutory protection?	
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity	
Yes:	
Opportunity to enhance/no significant adverse impact	
Significant adverse impact (mitigation to be explored)	✓ Undulating landscape that requires a clever and sympathetic urban design strategy
Significant adverse impact – site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Land at risk of Flooding	
Is the site in an area of known flooding risk?	Details
No: Little/no risk of flooding	\checkmark
Yes:	
Zone 1 – Little or no risk	
Zone 2 – Low to medium risk (mitigation to be explored)	
Zone 3 – High risk – Site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Stage B	
Other Environmental Issues:	
Impact on the historic, cultural and built environment	
How would the site affect the setting and character of a Listed Building or Conservation Area? How would the site impact on the existing character of the Settlement?	Details HER indicates high sensitivity which may require further investigation prior to development
Opportunity to enhance/no adverse impact	\checkmark
Adverse impact/impact but could be mitigated	
Significant adverse impact that cannot be mitigated	

Sustainability: Access to Public Transport	
Less than 400m (walking distance)	
Between 400m and 800m (walking distance)	
Over 800m (walking distance) or ineffective service	\checkmark

Access to services and facilities Walking distance to nearest first school:	
Less than 1.5km	
Less trian 1.5km	
Between 1.5km and 3km	\checkmark
Over 3km	
Walking distance to nearest local retail facilities:	
Less than 800m	
Between 800m and 1600m	\checkmark
Over 1600m	
Walking distance to nearest health facilities:	
Less than 800m	
Between 800m and 1600m	
Over 1600m	\checkmark
Constraints to Delivery	
Level of Contamination on Site:	
None	\checkmark
Contamination that can be overcome through land remediation	
High level of contamination that cannot be realistically mitigated	
Are there any Physical Constraints on site?	

No		
Yes, but can be overcome	✓ Undulating landscape High pressure gas pipeline	
Yes and cannot be overcome		
Are there TPOs on site?		
No		
A single TPO		
Group TPO	✓ BOR TPO 98	
Is there a Public Right of Way on the site?		
Νο	\checkmark	
Yes		

Open Space & Recreation	
Would the site result in the loss of these facilities?	Details
No	Structured open space provision would form part of any development proposal
Yes, but indication of replacement provision possible on, next to or near to the site as part of the Development	
Yes. No possibility of replacement provision	

Employment Land	
Would development of the site result in the loss of	Details
employment land?	
No	\checkmark
Yes - <u>demonstrated</u> that land will not come forward for	
employment uses	
Yes - land is not likely to come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is likely to come forward for employment uses	

Infrastructure Capacity	
Is the site considered adequately served by existing infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served? Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development	Details
Infrastructure constraints that would require investment to overcome but can probably be addressed by developer contributions	✓ Highway and drainage issues are being addressed by the developers as part of pre-application preparations
Significant infrastructure constraints, i.e. strategic infrastructure required which may require Government grants	
Highway Access	
Can the site be accessed by vehicle from the highway?	Details
Direct access to main/adopted road	

Access to unadopted road/track	At present access only from Weights Lane. However this would alter to 'green' as site would be phased after development of the ADR and adequate /improved highway access would be provided as part of ADR development
No access	

Compatibility with adjoining uses	
Would development of the site for residential uses be compatible with existing and/or proposed adjoining uses?	Details
No compatibility issues	\checkmark
Insignificant or moderate compatibility issues	
Residential development considered incompatible (discount site for residential)	

Stage C	
Availability	
Is the site immediately available for development?	
Yes	
No	\checkmark
What is the predominant land type on the site?	Details
Brownfield or Greenfield within or adjacent to a settlement Therefore available within 0 - 5 years	
ADR	
Therefore available within 5 - 10 years	
Green Belt	\checkmark
Therefore available beyond 10 years	

Achievability	
Willingness of landowner to progress site for development	Details
Yes, or issues which can be resolved within 5 years	\checkmark
Possibly, or with issues which can be resolved within 10 years	
No, issues which cannot be resolved	

Appropriate timeframe for development?	Details
0-5 years	
5-10 years	×
10years +	

Potential Residential Yield	
Appropriate Density	Total number of Dwellings
	400

REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL	Redditch Borough Council Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment
lifference	HOUSING SITE ANALYSIS FORM
www.redditchbc.gov.uk	Survey Date: 16/6/11



Ownership Details:	Site Area:		
Private	1.0 Ha		
	Grid Ref:		
	SP0317 6833		
Current Land Use:			
Open Space			
Surrounding Land Uses:			
Residential, open space, ADR, Green Belt			
Character of Surrounding Area:			
1950s former council estate, 2000s private hous	sing and agricultural land		
Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, WYG Report, omission site, other)			
New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer etc)			
Developer			
Relevant Planning History:			
(including most recent ownership details)			
Detailed Planning Permission: 🗵 Deta	i ls: 10/008 – 14 dwellings		
Outline Planning Permission:			
-			
Previous Local Plan Allocation:			

Stage A	
Conformity with Strategic Policy for Development Distribution/Settlement Hierarchy	Details
Brownfield (previously developed) site that is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	-
Greenfield or Green Belt site which is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	~
Any site (either brownfield, Greenfield or Green Belt) that is not within, or adjoining any settlement and is within Redditch Borough – site will be discounted	
Any site which falls within the WYG Study Boundary which may form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs beyond the Redditch Borough Boundary	
site to be assessed under separate study)	

Is a scheduled Ancient Monument located on the site?	Details
Yes: Site will be discounted	
No: Does the site fall within or significantly affect any of designated international, regional or local value, or affe flora or fauna? Does the site affect trees, hedgerows or woodland not subject to statutory protection?	ct habitat for protected
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity Yes: Opportunity to enhance/no significant adverse impact	Biodiversity issues dealt with at planning appeal
Yes:	dealt with at planning

Land at risk of Flooding	
Is the site in an area of known flooding risk?	Details
No: Little/no risk of flooding	~
Yes:	
Zone 1 – Little or no risk	
Zone 2 – Low to medium risk (mitigation to be explored)	
Zone 3 – High risk – Site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Stage B	
Other Environmental Issues:	
Impact on the historic, cultural and built environme	nt
How would the site affect the setting and character of a Listed Building or Conservation Area? How would the site impact on the existing character of the Settlement?	Details HER Protected – No Sensitivity - Unknown
Opportunity to enhance/no adverse impact	~
Adverse impact/impact but could be mitigated	
Significant adverse impact that cannot be mitigated	

Sustainability:	
Access to Public Transport	
Is the site well related to a bus stop (or railway station)?	Details
Less than 400m (walking distance)	~
Between 400m and 800m (walking distance)	
Over 800m (walking distance) or ineffective service	

Walking distance to nearest first school:	
Less than 1.5km	~
Between 1.5km and 3km	
Over 3km	
Walking distance to nearest local retail facilities:	
Less than 800m	
Between 800m and 1600m	×
Over 1600m	
Walking distance to nearest health facilities:	
Less than 800m	
Between 800m and 1600m	1
Over 1600m	

Level of Contamination on Site:	
None	×
Contamination that can be overcome through land remediation	
High level of contamination that cannot be realistically mitigated	
Are there any Physical Constraints on site?	
No	
Yes, but can be overcome	✓ Overhead power cables
Yes and cannot be overcome	
Are there TPOs on site?	
No	✓
A single TPO	
Group TPO	
Is there a Public Right of Way on the site?	
No	
Yes	

Open Space & Recreation	
Would the site result in the loss of these facilities?	Details
No	
Yes, but indication of replacement provision possible on, next to or near to the site as part of the development	✓ Will form part of a larger strategic site, which will include open space enhancements adj. to this site
Yes. No possibility of replacement provision	

Employment Land	Details
Would development of the site result in the loss of	
employment land?	,
No	\checkmark
Yes - <u>demonstrated</u> that land will not come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is not likely to come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is likely to come forward for employment uses	
Infrastructure Capacity	
Is the site considered adequately served by existing infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served?	Details
Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development	~
Infrastructure constraints that would require investment to overcome but can probably be addressed by developer contributions	
Significant infrastructure constraints, i.e. strategic infrastructure required which may require Government grants	

Highway Access	
Can the site be accessed by vehicle from the highway?	Details
Direct access to main/adopted road	
Access to unadopted road/track	
No access	 ✓ Access route included in planning appeal


Compatibility with adjoining uses	
Would development of the site for residential uses be compatible with existing and/or proposed adjoining uses?	Details
No compatibility issues	~
Insignificant or moderate compatibility issues	
Residential development considered incompatible (discount site for residential)	

Stage C	
Availability	
Is the site immediately available for development?	
Yes	~
No	
What is the predominant land type on the site?	Details
Brownfield or Greenfield within or adjacent to a settlement	1
Therefore available within 0 - 5 years	
ADR	
Therefore available within 5 - 10 years	
Green Belt	
Therefore available beyond 10 years	

Achievability Willingness of landowner to progress site for development	Details
Yes, or issues which can be resolved within 5 years	1
Possibly, or with issues which can be resolved within 10 years	
No, issues which cannot be resolved	

Appropriate timeframe for development?	Details
0-5 years	✓
5-10 years	
10years +	

Potential Residential Yield	
Appropriate Density	Total number of Dwellings
	14



	1		
Ownership Details: Private	Site Area: 0.52 ha Grid Ref: SP0327 6868		
Current Land Use:			
Former farm buildings			
Surrounding Land Uses:			
Green Belt, ADR, agricultural uses and resident	ial		
Character of Surrounding Area:			
Located on the edge of the towns built form and nearby residential units mainly comprise the new early 2000s) and Batchley – 1950s council hous public and private tenures.	w Brockhill development (completed		
Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, WYG Report, omission site, other)			
New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer etc)			
Landowner			
Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)			
	ails: 11/087 – 6 dwellings. Barn conversions and rebuild farmhouse following demolition due to fire		
Outline Planning Permission:			
Previous Local Plan Allocation:			
Additional Information/site notes:			

Stage A	
Conformity with Strategic Policy for Development Distribution/Settlement Hierarchy	Details
Brownfield (previously developed) site that is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	
Greenfield or Green Belt site which is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	\checkmark
Any site (either brownfield, Greenfield or Green Belt) that is not within, or adjoining any settlement and is within Redditch Borough – site will be discounted	
Any site which falls within the WYG Study Boundary which may form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs beyond the Redditch Borough Boundary (site to be assessed under separate study)	

Is a scheduled Ancient Monument located on the site?	Details
Yes: Site will be discounted	
No: Does the site fall within or significantly affect any other site of designated international, regional or local value, or affect habitat for protected flora or fauna? Does the site affect trees, hedgerows or areas of ancient woodland not subject to statutory protection?	\checkmark
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity	\checkmark
Yes:	
Opportunity to enhance/no significant adverse impact	
Significant adverse impact (mitigation to be explored)	
Significant adverse impact – site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Land at risk of Flooding	
Is the site in an area of known flooding risk?	Details
No: Little/no risk of flooding	\checkmark
Yes:	
Zone 1 – Little or no risk	
Zone 2 – Low to medium risk (mitigation to be explored)	
Zone 3 – High risk – Site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Stage B	
Other Environmental Issues:	
Impact on the historic, cultural and built environment	
How would the site affect the setting and character of a Listed Building or Conservation Area? How would the site impact on the existing character of the Settlement?	HER indicates that there are undesignated heritage assets on site, for which there is an opportunity to enhance
Opportunity to enhance/no adverse impact	\checkmark
Adverse impact/impact but could be mitigated	
Significant adverse impact that cannot be mitigated	

Sustainability: Access to Public Transport	
Is the site well related to a bus stop (or railway station)?	Details
Less than 400m (walking distance)	
Between 400m and 800m (walking distance)	\checkmark
Over 800m (walking distance) or ineffective service	

Walking distance to nearest first school:	
Less than 1.5km	✓
Between 1.5km and 3km	
Over 3km	
Walking distance to nearest local retail facilities:	
Less than 800m	
Between 800m and 1600m	\checkmark
Over 1600m	
Walking distance to nearest health facilities:	
Less than 800m	
Between 800m and 1600m	
Over 1600m	✓

Constraints to Delivery	
Level of Contamination on Site:	
None	\checkmark
Contamination that can be overcome through land remediation	
High level of contamination that cannot be realistically mitigated	
Are there any Physical Constraints on site?	
No	\checkmark
Yes, but can be overcome	
Yes and cannot be overcome	
Are there TPOs on site?	
No	\checkmark
A single TPO	
Group TPO	
Is there a Public Right of Way on the site?	
No 🗸	
Yes	

Open Space & Recreation		
Would the site result in the loss of these facilities?	Details	
No	\checkmark	
Yes, but indication of replacement provision possible on, next to or near to the site as part of the Development		
Yes. No possibility of replacement provision		

Employment Land	
Would development of the site result in the loss of employment land?	Details
No	\checkmark
Yes - <u>demonstrated</u> that land will not come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is not likely to come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is likely to come forward for employment uses	

Infrastructure Capacity	
Is the site considered adequately served by existing infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served?	Details
Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development	
Infrastructure constraints that would require investment to overcome but can probably be addressed by developer contributions	\checkmark
Significant infrastructure constraints, i.e. strategic infrastructure required which may require Government grants	
Highway Access	
Can the site be accessed by vehicle from the highway? Direct access to main/adopted road	Details
Access to unadopted road/track	\checkmark
No access	

Compatibility with adjoining uses	
Would development of the site for residential uses be	Details
compatible with existing and/or proposed adjoining uses?	
No compatibility issues	\checkmark
Insignificant or moderate compatibility issues	
Residential development considered incompatible (discount site for residential)	


Stage C	
Availability	
Is the site immediately available for development?	
Yes	\checkmark
No	
What is the predominant land type on the site?	Details
Brownfield or Greenfield within or adjacent to a settlement Therefore available within 0 - 5 years	
ADR	
Therefore available within 5 - 10 years	
Green Belt	\checkmark
Therefore available beyond 10 years	

Willingness of landowner to progress site for development	Details
Yes, or issues which can be resolved within 5 years	\checkmark
Possibly, or with issues which can be resolved within 10 years	
No, issues which cannot be resolved	

Appropriate timeframe for development?	Details
0-5 years	\checkmark
5-10 years	
10years +	

Potential Residential Yield	
Appropriate Density	Total number of Dwellings
	6

Site Address:	Site Ref:	Survey Date:
Webheath ADR	2010/12 (BORLP4 site	03/9/10
	213)	



le Owners nt Land Use: Ilture unding Land Uses: Ilture and residential Incter of Surrounding Area:	Grid Ref: SP0152 6613
Iture unding Land Uses: Iture and residential	
unding Land Uses: Iture and residential	
Iture and residential	
cter of Surrounding Area:	
Vebheath ADR is located on the edge of F Itural use. The site is characterised by its e trees and hedgerows situated througho ed throughout the site. Residential proper ern boundaries and comprises mainly of r rties.	s undulating rural landscape with ut the site. Residential property is rty is situated to the north, east and
DUS Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, WYG Report, or	mission site, other)
gh of Redditch Local Plan No. 3	
Source: (e.g. landowner, developer etc)	
ant Planning History: g most recent ownership details)	
ed Planning Permission: 🗌 Det	ails:
e Planning Permission:	
ous Local Plan Allocation:	
onal Information/site notes:	

Stage A	
Conformity with Strategic Policy for Development Distribution/Settlement Hierarchy	Details
Brownfield (previously developed) site that is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	
Greenfield or Green Belt site which is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	\checkmark
Any site (either brownfield, Greenfield or Green Belt) that is not within, or adjoining any settlement and is within Redditch Borough – site will be discounted	
Any site which falls within the WYG Study Boundary which may form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs beyond the Redditch Borough Boundary (site to be assessed under separate study)	

Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage	Detaile Ma
Is a scheduled Ancient Monument located on the site?	Details - No
Yes: Site will be discounted	
No: Does the site fall within or significantly affect any other site of designated international, regional or local value, or affect habitat for protected flora or fauna? Does the site affect trees, hedgerows or areas of ancient woodland not subject to statutory protection?	
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity	Phase 1 Habitat Survey completed by site owner
Yes:	
Opportunity to enhance/no significant adverse impact	
Significant adverse impact (mitigation to be explored)	
Significant adverse impact – site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Land at risk of Flooding	Detelle
Is the site in an area of known flooding risk?	Details
No: Little/no risk of flooding	 ✓
Yes:	
Zone 1 – Little or no risk	
Zone 2 – Low to medium risk (mitigation to be explored)	
Zone 3 – High risk – Site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Stage B	
Other Environmental Issues:	
Impact on the historic, cultural and built environment	
How would the site affect the setting and character of a Listed Building or Conservation Area? How would the site impact on the existing character of the Settlement?	Details HER Protected – No Sensitivity - Unknown
Opportunity to enhance/no adverse impact	~
Adverse impact/impact but could be mitigated	
Significant adverse impact that cannot be mitigated	

Sustainability:	
Access to Public Transport	
Is the site well related to a bus stop (or railway station)?	Details
Less than 400m (walking distance)	
Between 400m and 800m (walking distance)	\checkmark
Over 800m (walking distance) or ineffective service	

Walking distance to nearest first school:	
Less than 1.5km	\checkmark
Between 1.5km and 3km	
Over 3km	
Walking distance to nearest local retail facilities:	
Less than 800m	\checkmark
Between 800m and 1600m	
Over 1600m	
Walking distance to nearest health facilities:	
Less than 800m	1
Between 800m and 1600m	
Over 1600m	\checkmark
Constraints to Delivery	
Level of Contamination on Site:	
None	\checkmark
Contamination that can be overcome through land remediation	
High level of contamination that cannot be realistically mitigated	
Are there any Physical Constraints on site?	
No	

Redditch Borough Council – Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment 2010 213 - Webheath ADR

Yes, but can be overcome	✓ Biodiversity
Yes and cannot be overcome	
Are there TPOs on site?	
No	
A single TPO	
Group TPO	✓ BOR TPO No.72
Is there a Public Right of Way on the site?	
No	
Yes	\checkmark

Open Space & Recreation	
Would the site result in the loss of these facilities?	Details
No	Structured open space provision would form part of any development proposal
Yes, but indication of replacement provision possible on, next to or near to the site as part of the Development	
Yes. No possibility of replacement provision	

Employment Land	
Would development of the site result in the loss of employment land?	Details
No	\checkmark
Yes - <u>demonstrated</u> that land will not come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is not likely to come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is likely to come forward for employment uses	

Infrastructure Capacity	
Is the site considered adequately served by existing infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served?	Details
Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development	
Infrastructure constraints that would require investment to overcome but can probably be addressed by developer contributions	 ✓ Highway and drainage issues would need to be addressed by the developers as part of pre- application preparation
Significant infrastructure constraints, i.e. strategic infrastructure required which may require Government grants	
Highway Access	
Can the site be accessed by vehicle from the highway?	Details
Direct access to main/adopted road	\checkmark
Access to unadopted road/track	

NO access


Compatibility with adjoining uses	
Would development of the site for residential uses be compatible with existing and/or proposed adjoining uses?	Details
No compatibility issues	\checkmark
Insignificant or moderate compatibility issues	
Residential development considered incompatible (discount site for residential)	

Stage C	
Availability	
Is the site immediately available for development?	
Yes	
No	\checkmark
What is the predominant land type on the site?	Details
Brownfield or Greenfield within or adjacent to a settlement Therefore available within 0 - 5 years	
ADR Therefore available within 5 - 10 years	\checkmark
Green Belt	
Therefore available beyond 10 years	

Achievability	
Willingness of landowner to progress site for development	Details
Yes, or issues which can be resolved within 5 years	\checkmark
Possibly, or with issues which can be resolved within 10 years	\checkmark
No, issues which cannot be resolved	

Appropriate timeframe for development?	Details
0-5 years	√ (234)
5-10 years	√(366)
10vears +	

Potential Residential Yield	
Appropriate Density	Total number of Dwellings
	234 & 366





Redditch Borough Council – Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment 2010 217 – Land adjacent 'Sandycroft', West Avenue

Ownership Details:	Site Area: 0.07 ha		
Private ownership	Grid Ref: SP0419 6705		
Current Land Use:			
Vacant land			
Surrounding Land Uses:			
Residential, sport & youth Centre, day nursery,	residential care home		
Character of Surrounding Area:			
Located within one of the older parts of Redditch at the end of a quiet no-through road. In close proximity to town centre facilities. This part of Redditch runs along one of the main ridgeways through the town and is therefore quite steep. This is also reflected across the site.			
Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, WYG Report, on	nission site, other)		
New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer etc)			
Landowner			
Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)			
Detailed Planning Permission: 🗌 Details:			
Outline Planning Permission:			
Previous Local Plan Allocation:			
Additional Information/site notes:			
Site availability reassessed 2013. Site area reconfigured.			

Stage A	
Conformity with Strategic Policy for Development Distribution/Settlement Hierarchy	Details
Brownfield (previously developed) site that is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	\checkmark
Greenfield or Green Belt site which is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	
Any site (either brownfield, Greenfield or Green Belt) that is not within, or adjoining any settlement and is within Redditch Borough – site will be discounted	
Any site which falls within the WYG Study Boundary which may form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs beyond the Redditch Borough Boundary (site to be assessed under separate study)	

Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage	
Is a scheduled Ancient Monument located on the site?	Details - No
Yes: Site will be discounted	
No: Does the site fall within or significantly affect any other site of designated international, regional or local value, or affect habitat for protected flora or fauna? Does the site affect trees, hedgerows or areas of ancient woodland not subject to statutory protection?	
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity	\checkmark
Yes:	
Opportunity to enhance/no significant adverse impact	
Significant adverse impact (mitigation to be explored)	
Significant adverse impact – site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Land at risk of Flooding	
Is the site in an area of known flooding risk?	Details
No: Little/no risk of flooding	\checkmark
Yes:	
Zone 1 – Little or no risk	
Zone 2 – Low to medium risk (mitigation to be explored)	
Zone 3 – High risk – Site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Stage B	
Other Environmental Issues:	
Impact on the historic, cultural and built environment	
How would the site affect the setting and character of a Listed Building or Conservation Area? How would the site impact on the existing character of the Settlement?	Details
Opportunity to enhance/no adverse impact	\checkmark
Adverse impact/impact but could be mitigated	
Significant adverse impact that cannot be mitigated	

Sustainability:	
Access to Public Transport	
Is the site well related to a bus stop (or railway station)?	Details
Less than 400m (walking distance)	\checkmark
Between 400m and 800m (walking distance)	
Over 800m (walking distance) or ineffective service	

Walking distance to nearest first school:	
Less than 1.5km	\checkmark
Between 1.5km and 3km	
Over 3km	
Walking distance to nearest local retail facilities:	
Less than 800m	\checkmark
Between 800m and 1600m	
Over 1600m	
Walking distance to nearest health facilities:	
Less than 800m	
Between 800m and 1600m	\checkmark
Over 1600m	
Constraints to Delivery	
Level of Contamination on Site:	
None	\checkmark
Contamination that can be overcome through land remediation	
High level of contamination that cannot be realistically mitigated	
Are there any Physical Constraints on site?	
No	\checkmark

Redditch Borough Council – Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment 2010 217 – Land adjacent 'Sandycroft', West Avenue

Yes, but can be overcome	
Yes and cannot be overcome	
Are there TPOs on site?	
No	✓
A single TPO	
Group TPO	
Is there a Public Right of Way on the site?	
No	✓
Yes	

Open Space & Recreation		
Would the site result in the loss of these facilities?	Details	
No	\checkmark	
Yes, but indication of replacement provision possible on, next to or near to the site as part of the Development		
Yes. No possibility of replacement provision		

Employment Land	
Would development of the site result in the loss of employment land?	Details
No	\checkmark
Yes - <u>demonstrated</u> that land will not come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is not likely to come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is likely to come forward for employment uses	

Infrastructure Capacity	
Is the site considered adequately served by existing infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served?	Details
Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development	\checkmark
Infrastructure constraints that would require investment to overcome but can probably be addressed by developer contributions	
Significant infrastructure constraints, i.e. strategic infrastructure required which may require Government	
grants	
Highway Access	
Can the site be accessed by vehicle from the highway?	Details
Direct access to main/adopted road	\checkmark
Access to unadopted road/track	
No access	

Compatibility with adjoining uses	
Would development of the site for residential uses be compatible with existing and/or proposed adjoining uses?	Details
No compatibility issues	\checkmark
Insignificant or moderate compatibility issues	
Residential development considered incompatible (discount site for residential)	

Stage C	
Availability	
Is the site immediately available for development?	
Yes	
No	\checkmark
What is the predominant land type on the site?	Details
Brownfield or Greenfield within or adjacent to a settlement Therefore available within 0 - 5 years	\checkmark
ADR Therefore available within 5 - 10 years	
Green Belt	
Therefore available beyond 10 years	

Achievability	
Willingness of landowner to progress site for development	Details
Yes, or issues which can be resolved within 5 years	
Possibly, or with issues which can be resolved within 10 years	\checkmark
No, issues which cannot be resolved	

Appropriate timeframe for development?	Details
0-5 years	
5-10 years	~
10years +	


Potential Residential Yield	
Appropriate Density	Total number of Dwellings
30 dwellings per hectare	9



Redditch Borough Council Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment

HOUSING SITE ANALYSIS FORM

Survey Date: 14/6/11



Ownership Details:			Site Area:
Private			0.41 Ha
			Grid Ref:
			SP0389 6566
Current Land Use:			
Residential and nursing home			
Surrounding Land Uses:			
Residential			
Character of Surrounding Area:			
Early - mid 20 th century residential	area,	busy ma	in road
Early - mid 20 th century residential			
Early - mid 20 th century residential	, WYG R		
Early - mid 20 th century residential Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS,	, WYG R		
Early - mid 20 th century residential Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer	, WYG R		
Early - mid 20 th century residential Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer Developer	, WYG R		
Early - mid 20 th century residential Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer Developer Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)	, WYG R etc)	eport, omis	sion site, other)
Early - mid 20 th century residential Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer Developer Relevant Planning History:	, WYG R	eport, omis	sion site, other) s: 10/137 – 42 no. 1 and 2
Early - mid 20 th century residential Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer Developer Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)	, WYG R etc)	eport, omis	sion site, other)
Early - mid 20 th century residential Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer Developer Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)	, WYG R etc)	eport, omis	sion site, other) s: 10/137 – 42 no. 1 and 2
Early - mid 20 th century residential Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer Developer Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)	, WYG R etc)	eport, omis	sion site, other) s: 10/137 – 42 no. 1 and 2 bed flats for dementia
Early - mid 20 th century residential Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer Developer Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)	, WYG R etc)	eport, omis	sion site, other) s: 10/137 – 42 no. 1 and 2 bed flats for dementia
Early - mid 20 th century residential Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer Developer Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details) Detailed Planning Permission:	etc)	eport, omis	sion site, other) s: 10/137 – 42 no. 1 and 2 bed flats for dementia

Stage A	
Conformity with Strategic Policy for Development Distribution/Settlement Hierarchy	Details
Brownfield (previously developed) site that is within or djoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	~
Greenfield or Green Belt site which is within or djoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	
ny site (either brownfield, Greenfield or Green Belt) nat is not within, or adjoining any settlement and is /ithin Redditch Borough – site will be discounted	
Any site which falls within the WYG Study Boundary which may form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs beyond the Redditch Borough Boundary site to be assessed under separate study)	

Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage	
Is a scheduled Ancient Monument located on the site?	Details
Yes: Site will be discounted	
No : Does the site fall within or significantly affect any ot designated international, regional or local value, or affect flora or fauna? Does the site affect trees, hedgerows or woodland not subject to statutory protection?	ct habitat for protected
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity	✓
Yes:	
Opportunity to enhance/no significant adverse impact	
Significant adverse impact (mitigation to be explored)	
Significant adverse impact – site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Is the site in an area of known flooding risk?	Details
No: Little/no risk of flooding	~
Yes:	
Zone 1 – Little or no risk	
Zone 2 – Low to medium risk (mitigation to be explored)	
Zone 3 – High risk – Site will be discounted unless can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	s it

Stage B	
Other Environmental Issues:	
Impact on the historic, cultural and built environme	nt
How would the site affect the setting and character of a Listed Building or Conservation Area? How would the site impact on the existing character of the Settlement?	Details HER Protected – No Sensitivity - Unknown
Opportunity to enhance/no adverse impact	1
Adverse impact/impact but could be mitigated	
Significant adverse impact that cannot be mitigated	

Sustainability:	
Access to Public Transport	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
Is the site well related to a bus stop (or railway station)?	Details
Less than 400m (walking distance)	~
Between 400m and 800m (walking distance)	
Over 800m (walking distance) or ineffective service	

Walking distance to nearest first school:	
Less than 1.5km	~
Between 1.5km and 3km	
Over 3km	
Nalking distance to nearest local retail facilities	:
Less than 800m	~
Between 800m and 1600m	
Over 1600m	
Walking distance to nearest health facilities:	
Less than 800m	×
Between 800m and 1600m	
Over 1600m	

Constraints to Delivery	
Level of Contamination on Site:	
None	~
Contamination that can be overcome through land remediation	
High level of contamination that cannot be realistically mitigated	
Are there any Physical Constraints on site?	
No	✓
Yes, but can be overcome	
Yes and cannot be overcome	
Are there TPOs on site?	
No	
A single TPO	BOR TPO No.76
Group TPO	
Is there a Public Right of Way on the site?	
No	\checkmark
Yes	

Open Space & Recreation	
Would the site result in the loss of these facilities?	Details
No	✓
Yes, but indication of replacement provision possible on, next to or near to the site as part of the development	
Yes. No possibility of replacement provision	

Employment Land Would development of the site result in the loss of	Details
	Details
employment land?	
No	✓
Yes - <u>demonstrated</u> that land will not come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is not likely to come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is likely to come forward for employment uses	
Infrastructure Capacity	
Is the site considered adequately served by existing	Details
infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be	Details
	Details
infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served?	Details ✓
infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served? Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development	Details ✓
infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served? Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development Infrastructure constraints that would require	Details ✓
infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served? Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development Infrastructure constraints that would require investment to overcome but can probably be	Details ✓

Highway Access	
Can the site be accessed by vehicle from the	Details
highway?	
Direct access to main/adopted road	✓
Access to unadopted road/track	
No access	

Compatibility with adjoining uses	
Would development of the site for residential uses be compatible with existing and/or proposed adjoining uses?	Details
No compatibility issues	✓
Insignificant or moderate compatibility issues	
Residential development considered incompatible (discount site for residential)	

Stage C	
Availability	
Is the site immediately available for development?	
Yes	~
No	
What is the predominant land type on the site?	Details
Brownfield or Greenfield within or adjacent to a settlement	~
Therefore available within 0 - 5 years	
ADR	
Therefore available within 5 - 10 years	
Green Belt	
Therefore available beyond 10 years	

Achievability Willingness of landowner to progress site for development	Details
Yes, or issues which can be resolved within 5 years	1
Possibly, or with issues which can be resolved within 10 years	
No, issues which cannot be resolved	-

Appropriate timeframe for development?	Details
0-5 years	✓
5-10 years	
10years +	


Potential Residential Yield	
Appropriate Density	Total number of Dwellings
	42 (41 net)



Redditch Borough Council Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment

HOUSING SITE ANALYSIS FORM

Survey Date: 14/6/11



Ownership Details:	Site Area:
Private	0.13 ha
	Grid Ref:
	SP0419 6238
Current Land Use:	
Business Use	
Surrounding Land Uses:	
Residential	
Character of Surrounding Area:	
Character of Surrounding Area: Semi rural settlement, some early	20 th century dwellings
Semi rural settlement, some early	/ U
	/ U
Semi rural settlement, some early	s, WYG Report, omission site, other)
Semi rural settlement, some early Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS	s, WYG Report, omission site, other)
Semi rural settlement, some early Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer Developer	s, WYG Report, omission site, other)
Semi rural settlement, some early Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer	s, WYG Report, omission site, other)
Semi rural settlement, some early Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer Developer Relevant Planning History:	s, WYG Report, omission site, other)
Semi rural settlement, some early Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer Developer Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)	s, WYG Report, omission site, other) r etc)

Stage A	
Conformity with Strategic Policy for Development Distribution/Settlement Hierarchy	Details
rownfield (previously developed) site that is within or djoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	~
Breenfield or Green Belt site which is within or djoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	
Any site (either brownfield, Greenfield or Green Belt) hat is not within, or adjoining any settlement and is vithin Redditch Borough – site will be discounted	
Any site which falls within the WYG Study Boundary which may form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs beyond the Redditch Borough Boundary site to be assessed under separate study)	

Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage	
Is a scheduled Ancient Monument located on the site?	Details
Yes: Site will be discounted	
No: Does the site fall within or significantly affect any ot designated international, regional or local value, or affect flora or fauna? Does the site affect trees, hedgerows or woodland not subject to statutory protection?	ct habitat for protected
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity	~
Yes:	
Opportunity to enhance/no significant adverse impact	
Significant adverse impact (mitigation to be explored)	
Significant adverse impact – site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Land at risk of Flooding Is the site in an area of known flooding risk?	Details
No: Little/no risk of flooding	✓
Yes:	
Zone 1 – Little or no risk	
Zone 2 – Low to medium risk (mitigation to be explored)	
Zone 3 – High risk – Site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Stage B	
Other Environmental Issues:	
Impact on the historic, cultural and built environme	nt
How would the site affect the setting and character of a Listed Building or Conservation Area? How would the site impact on the existing character of the Settlement?	Details HER Protected – No Sensitivity - Unknown
Opportunity to enhance/no adverse impact	~
Adverse impact/impact but could be mitigated	
Significant adverse impact that cannot be mitigated	

Sustainability:	
Access to Public Transport	
Is the site well related to a bus stop (or railway station)?	Details
Less than 400m (walking distance)	1
Between 400m and 800m (walking distance)	
Over 800m (walking distance) or ineffective service	

Walking distance to nearest first school:	
Less than 1.5km	~
Between 1.5km and 3km	
Over 3km	
Walking distance to nearest local retail facilities	5:
Less than 800m	×
Between 800m and 1600m	
Over 1600m	
Walking distance to nearest health facilities:	
Less than 800m	×
Between 800m and 1600m	
Over 1600m	

evel of Contamination on Site:	
lone	\checkmark
Contamination that can be overcome through land emediation	
ligh level of contamination that cannot be realistically nitigated	
Are there any Physical Constraints on site?	
lo	\checkmark
es, but can be overcome	
es and cannot be overcome	
Are there TPOs on site?	
0	1
single TPO	
Group TPO	
s there a Public Right of Way on the site?	
0	\checkmark
/es	

Open Space & Recreation	
Would the site result in the loss of these facilities?	Details
No	~
Yes, but indication of replacement provision possible on, next to or near to the site as part of the development	
Yes. No possibility of replacement provision	

Employment Land Would development of the site result in the loss of	Details
	Details
employment land?	
No	~
Yes - <u>demonstrated</u> that land will not come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is not likely to come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is likely to come forward for employment uses	
Infractive Consolts	
Infrastructure Capacity	V
Is the site considered adequately served by existing	Details
Is the site considered adequately served by existing infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be	Details
Is the site considered adequately served by existing	Details ✓
Is the site considered adequately served by existing infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served?	Details ✓
Is the site considered adequately served by existing infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served? Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development Infrastructure constraints that would require	Details ✓
Is the site considered adequately served by existing infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served? Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development	Details ✓
Is the site considered adequately served by existing infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served? Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development Infrastructure constraints that would require investment to overcome but can probably be	Details ✓

Highway Access	
Can the site be accessed by vehicle from the	Details
highway?	
Direct access to main/adopted road	✓
Access to unadopted road/track	
No access	

Compatibility with adjoining uses	
Would development of the site for residential uses be compatible with existing and/or proposed adjoining uses?	Details
No compatibility issues	✓
Insignificant or moderate compatibility issues	
Residential development considered incompatible (discount site for residential)	

Availability	
Is the site immediately available for development?	
Yes	✓
No	
What is the predominant land type on the site?	Details
Brownfield or Greenfield within or adjacent to a settlement	~
Therefore available within 0 - 5 years	
ADR	
Therefore available within 5 - 10 years	
Green Belt	
Therefore available beyond 10 years	

Achievability Willingness of landowner to progress site for development	Details
Yes, or issues which can be resolved within 5 years	1
Possibly, or with issues which can be resolved within 10 years	
No, issues which cannot be resolved	

Appropriate timeframe for development?	Details
0-5 years	~
5-10 years	
10years +	


Potential Residential Yield	
Appropriate Density	Total number of Dwellings
	1



Redditch Borough Council Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment

HOUSING SITE ANALYSIS FORM

Survey Date: 9/8/11



Ownership Details:	Site Area:
Private	0.86 ha
	Grid Ref: SP0162 6740
Current Land Use: Green Belt	
Surrounding Land Uses: Green Belt, re	sidential, major road network
Character of Surrounding Area: Establ fringe of the Borough	ished residential area on the urban
Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, WYG R	Report, omission site, other)
New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer etc) Core Strategy boundary reviews	
Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)	
Detailed Planning Permission:	Details:
Outline Planning Permission:	
Previous Local Plan Allocation:	
Additional Comments: Green Belt land that is currently the subject It is anticipated that redefining the Green this land as an anomaly in terms of a defect this land being de-designated as Green E	Belt boundary in this area will leave ensible boundary and may result in

Stage A	
Conformity with Strategic Policy for Development Distribution/Settlement Hierarchy	Details
Brownfield (previously developed) site that is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	
Greenfield or Green Belt site which is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	~
Any site (either brownfield, Greenfield or Green Belt) that is not within, or adjoining any settlement and is within Redditch Borough – site will be discounted	
Any site which falls within the WYG Study Boundary which may form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs beyond the Redditch Borough Boundary	
(site to be assessed under separate study)	

Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage	
Is a scheduled Ancient Monument located on the site?	Details
Yes: Site will be discounted	
No : Does the site fall within or significantly affect any ot designated international, regional or local value, or affect flora or fauna? Does the site affect trees, hedgerows or woodland not subject to statutory protection?	ct habitat for protected
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity	~
Yes:	
Opportunity to enhance/no significant adverse impact	
Significant adverse impact (mitigation to be explored)	
Significant adverse impact – site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Land at risk of Flooding Is the site in an area of known flooding risk?	Details
No: Little/no risk of flooding	V
Yes:	
Zone 1 – Little or no risk	
Zone 2 – Low to medium risk (mitigation to be explored)	
Zone 3 – High risk – Site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Stage B	
Other Environmental Issues:	
Impact on the historic, cultural and built environme	nt
How would the site affect the setting and character of a Listed Building or Conservation Area? How would the site impact on the existing character of the Settlement?	Details HER Protected – No Sensitivity - Unknown
Opportunity to enhance/no adverse impact	~
Adverse impact/impact but could be mitigated	
Significant adverse impact that cannot be mitigated	

Sustainability:	
Access to Public Transport	
Is the site well related to a bus stop (or railway station)?	Details
Less than 400m (walking distance)	
Between 400m and 800m (walking distance)	×
Over 800m (walking distance) or ineffective service	

Walking distance to nearest first school:	
Less than 1.5km	~
Between 1.5km and 3km	
Over 3km	
Walking distance to nearest local retail facilitie	s:
Less than 800m	
Between 800m and 1600m	1
Over 1600m	
Walking distance to nearest health facilities:	
Less than 800m	
Between 800m and 1600m	
Over 1600m	×

Level of Contamination on Site:	
None	\checkmark
Contamination that can be overcome through land remediation	
High level of contamination that cannot be realistically mitigated	
Are there any Physical Constraints on site?	
No	1
Yes, but can be overcome	
Yes and cannot be overcome	
Are there TPOs on site?	
No	\checkmark
A single TPO	
Group TPO	
s there a Public Right of Way on the site?	
No	
\checkmark	
Yes	

Open Space & Recreation	
Would the site result in the loss of these facilities?	Details
No	✓
Yes, but indication of replacement provision possible on, next to or near to the site as part of the development	
Yes. No possibility of replacement provision	

Employment Land Would development of the site result in the loss of	Details
	Details
employment land?	
No	~
Yes - <u>demonstrated</u> that land will not come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is not likely to come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is likely to come forward for employment uses	
Infrastructure Capacity	
Infrastructure Capacity Is the site considered adequately served by existing	Details
Is the site considered adequately served by existing infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be	Details
Is the site considered adequately served by existing	Details ✓
Is the site considered adequately served by existing infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served?	Details ✓
Is the site considered adequately served by existing infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served? Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development Infrastructure constraints that would require	Details ✓
Is the site considered adequately served by existing infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served? Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development	Details ✓
Is the site considered adequately served by existing infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served? Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development Infrastructure constraints that would require investment to overcome but can probably be	Details ✓

Highway Access		
Can the site be accessed by vehicle from the	Details	
highway?		
Direct access to main/adopted road	✓	
Access to unadopted road/track		
No access		

Compatibility with adjoining uses	
Would development of the site for residential uses be compatible with existing and/or proposed adjoining uses?	Details
No compatibility issues	✓
Insignificant or moderate compatibility issues	
Residential development considered incompatible (discount site for residential)	

Stage C	
Availability	
Is the site immediately available for development?	
Yes	
No 🗸	
What is the predominant land type on the site?	Details
Brownfield or Greenfield within or adjacent to a settlement	
Therefore available within 0 - 5 years	
ADR	
Therefore available within 5 - 10 years	
Green Belt	×
Therefore available beyond 10 years	

Willingness of landowner to progress site for development	Details
Yes, or issues which can be resolved within 5 years	
Possibly, or with issues which can be resolved within 10 years	✓ No LOQ at time of publication but landowner has shown previous interest in bringing this site forward
No, issues which cannot be resolved	


Appropriate timeframe for development?	Details
0-5 years	
5-10 years	
10years +	~

Potential Residential Yield	
Appropriate Density	Total number of Dwellings
	28



Redditch Borough Council Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment

HOUSING SITE ANALYSIS FORM





Ownership Details:	Site Area: 0.56 ha
RBC	Grid Ref: SP0489 6837
Current Land Use:	
Former public swimming baths	
Surrounding Land Uses:	
Residential and employment	
Character of Surrounding Area:	
1950s council housing estate and aging industri	al estate
Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, WYG Report, or	ission site, other)
New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer etc)	
Landowner	
Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)	
Detailed Planning Permission: 🗌 Deta	ils:
Outline Planning Permission:	
Previous Local Plan Allocation:	
Additional Information/site notes:	

Stage A	
Conformity with Strategic Policy for Development Distribution/Settlement Hierarchy	Details
Brownfield (previously developed) site that is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	\checkmark
Greenfield or Green Belt site which is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	
Any site (either brownfield, Greenfield or Green Belt) that is not within, or adjoining any settlement and is within Redditch Borough – site will be discounted	
Any site which falls within the WYG Study Boundary which may form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs beyond the Redditch Borough Boundary (site to be assessed under separate study)	

Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage	
Is a scheduled Ancient Monument located on the site?	Details
Yes: Site will be discounted	
No: Does the site fall within or significantly affect any other site of designated international, regional or local value, or affect habitat for protected flora or fauna? Does the site affect trees, hedgerows or areas of ancient woodland not subject to statutory protection?	\checkmark
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity	\checkmark
Yes:	
Opportunity to enhance/no significant adverse impact	
Significant adverse impact (mitigation to be explored)	
Significant adverse impact – site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Land at risk of Flooding	
Is the site in an area of known flooding risk?	Details
No: Little/no risk of flooding	
Yes:	
Zone 1 – Little or no risk	
Zone 2 – Low to medium risk (mitigation to be explored)	
Zone 3 – High risk – Site will be discounted unless it can	✓
be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	Alterations to watercourse have been investigated and mitigation measures identified

Stage B	
Other Environmental Issues:	
Impact on the historic, cultural and built environment	
How would the site affect the setting and character of a Listed Building or Conservation Area? How would the site impact on the existing character of the Settlement?	HER indicates that the site is of unknown potential, but there is potential for palaeoenvironmental remains along the brook edge
Opportunity to enhance/no adverse impact	\checkmark
Adverse impact/impact but could be mitigated	
Significant adverse impact that cannot be mitigated	

Sustainability:	
Access to Public Transport	
Is the site well related to a bus stop (or railway station)?	Details
Less than 400m (walking distance)	\checkmark
Between 400m and 800m (walking distance)	
Over 800m (walking distance) or ineffective service	

Access to services and facilities	
Walking distance to nearest first school:	
Less than 1.5km	\checkmark
Between 1.5km and 3km	
Over 3km	
Walking distance to nearest local retail facilities:	
Less than 800m	✓
Between 800m and 1600m	
Over 1600m	
Walking distance to nearest health facilities:	
Less than 800m	
Between 800m and 1600m	√
Over 1600m	

Constraints to Delivery	
Level of Contamination on Site:	5)
None	
Contamination that can be overcome through land remediation	Asbestos remediation required
High level of contamination that cannot be realistically mitigated	
Are there any Physical Constraints on site?	
No	
Yes, but can be overcome	✓ Pool needs filling in
Yes and cannot be overcome	<u> </u>
Are there TPOs on site?	
No	\checkmark
A single TPO	
Group TPO	
Is there a Public Right of Way on the site?	
No 🗸	
Yes	

Open Space & Recreation	
Would the site result in the loss of these facilities?	Details
No	\checkmark
Yes, but indication of replacement provision possible on, next to or near to the site as part of the Development	
Yes. No possibility of replacement provision	

Employment Land	
Would development of the site result in the loss of employment land?	Details
No	\checkmark
Yes - <u>demonstrated</u> that land will not come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is not likely to come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is likely to come forward for employment uses	

Infrastructure Capacity	
Is the site considered adequately served by existing infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served?	Details
Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development	\checkmark
Infrastructure constraints that would require investment to overcome but can probably be addressed by developer contributions	
Significant infrastructure constraints, i.e. strategic infrastructure required which may require Government	
grants Highway Access	
Can the site be accessed by vehicle from the highway?	Details
Direct access to main/adopted road	✓
Access to unadopted road/track	
No access	

Compatibility with adjoining uses		
Would development of the site for residential uses be compatible with existing and/or proposed adjoining uses?	Details	
No compatibility issues	\checkmark	
Insignificant or moderate compatibility issues		
Residential development considered incompatible (discount site for residential)		

Stage C	
Availability	
Is the site immediately available for development?	
Yes	
No	\checkmark
What is the predominant land type on the site?	Details
Brownfield or Greenfield within or adjacent to a settlement Therefore available within 0 - 5 years	\checkmark
ADR Therefore available within 5 - 10 years	
Green Belt	
Therefore available beyond 10 years	

Willingness of landowner to progress site for development	Details
Yes, or issues which can be resolved within 5 years	\checkmark
Possibly, or with issues which can be resolved within 10 years	
No, issues which cannot be resolved	


Appropriate timeframe for development?	Details
0-5 years	\checkmark
5-10 years	
10years +	

Potential Residential Yield	
Appropriate Density	Total number of Dwellings
	14



Redditch Borough Council Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment

HOUSING SITE ANALYSIS FORM



Ownership Details:	Site Area: 0.91 ha
Private	Grid Ref: SP0397 6822
Current Land Use:	
Derelict former employment land	
Surrounding Land Uses:	
New residential development adjacent, predomi employment uses in 1960s units	nantly surrounded by small scale
Character of Surrounding Area:	
Primarily industrial, older part of Redditch. Exist development, however new residential developr derelict, run-down area	
Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, WYG Report, or	nission site, other)
New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer etc)	
BORLP3 IN24	
Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)	
Detailed Planning Permission: Details	5
	Allocated as an employment site since BORLP1
Outline Planning Permission:	
Previous Local Plan Allocation: 🗸	
Additional Information/site notes: Extract from 2012 ELR: This site has been ident 1986 (BORLP1). It was recently put up for auction to secure a sale. Land adjacent this site, within the been developed for residential use and access in Residential development on this site is consider	on for employment uses but failed the Enfield Industrial Estate, has into this site is readily available.

for this site (subject to SHLAA assessment)

Stage A	
Conformity with Strategic Policy for Development Distribution/Settlement Hierarchy	Details
Brownfield (previously developed) site that is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	\checkmark
Greenfield or Green Belt site which is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	
Any site (either brownfield, Greenfield or Green Belt) that is not within, or adjoining any settlement and is within Redditch Borough – site will be discounted	
Any site which falls within the WYG Study Boundary which may form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs beyond the Redditch Borough Boundary (site to be assessed under separate study)	

Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage	
Is a scheduled Ancient Monument located on the site?	Details
Yes: Site will be discounted	
No: Does the site fall within or significantly affect any other site of designated international, regional or local value, or affect habitat for protected flora or fauna? Does the site affect trees, hedgerows or areas of ancient woodland not subject to statutory protection?	\checkmark
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity	\checkmark
Yes:	
Opportunity to enhance/no significant adverse impact	
Significant adverse impact (mitigation to be explored)	
Significant adverse impact – site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Land at risk of Flooding	
Is the site in an area of known flooding risk?	Details
No: Little/no risk of flooding	\checkmark
Yes:	
Zone 1 – Little or no risk	
Zone 2 – Low to medium risk (mitigation to be explored)	
Zone 3 – High risk – Site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Stage B	
Other Environmental Issues:	
Impact on the historic, cultural and built environment	
How would the site affect the setting and character of a Listed Building or Conservation Area? How would the site impact on the existing character of the Settlement?	
Opportunity to enhance/no adverse impact	\checkmark
Adverse impact/impact but could be mitigated	
Significant adverse impact that cannot be mitigated	

Sustainability: Access to Public Transport	
Is the site well related to a bus stop (or railway station)?	Details
Less than 400m (walking distance)	\checkmark
Between 400m and 800m (walking distance)	
Over 800m (walking distance) or ineffective service	

Walking distance to nearest first school:	
Less than 1.5km	~
Between 1.5km and 3km	
Over 3km	
Walking distance to nearest local retail facilities:	
Less than 800m	~
Between 800m and 1600m	
Over 1600m	
Walking distance to nearest health facilities:	
Less than 800m	
Between 800m and 1600m	\checkmark
Over 1600m	

Constraints to Delivery	
Level of Contamination on Site:	
None	✓ Report provided
Contamination that can be overcome through land remediation	
High level of contamination that cannot be realistically mitigated	
Are there any Physical Constraints on site?	
No	\checkmark
Yes, but can be overcome	
Yes and cannot be overcome	
Are there TPOs on site?	
No	\checkmark
A single TPO	
Group TPO	
Is there a Public Right of Way on the site?	
No ✓ Public footpath runs to the north of the site	

Open Space & Recreation		
Would the site result in the loss of these facilities?	Details	
No	\checkmark	
Yes, but indication of replacement provision possible on, next to or near to the site as part of the Development		
Yes. No possibility of replacement provision		

Employment Land	
Would development of the site result in the loss of	Details
employment land?	
No	
Yes - <u>demonstrated</u> that land will not come forward for	
employment uses	
Yes - land is not likely to come forward for employment uses	\checkmark
	Assessed in 2012 ELR and removed for consideration for residential use
Yes - land is likely to come forward for employment uses	

-

Infrastructure Capacity	
Is the site considered adequately served by existing infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served?	Details
Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development	\checkmark
Infrastructure constraints that would require investment to overcome but can probably be addressed by developer contributions	
Significant infrastructure constraints, i.e. strategic infrastructure required which may require Government grants	
Highway Access	
Can the site be accessed by vehicle from the highway?	Details
Direct access to main/adopted road	\checkmark
Access to unadopted road/track	
No access	

Commotibility with adjoining wasa	
Compatibility with adjoining uses Would development of the site for residential uses be compatible with existing and/or proposed adjoining uses?	Details
No compatibility issues	
Insignificant or moderate compatibility issues	A noise survey would need to be undertaken to determine possible impacts on the site from neighbouring employment uses. Existing uses cannot be compromised by a residential proposal on this site, and as such, residential development would be considered inappropriate in this location if noise levels were excessive
Residential development considered incompatible (discount site for residential)	

Stage C	
Availability	
Is the site immediately available for development?	
Yes	\checkmark
No	
What is the predominant land type on the site?	Details
Brownfield or Greenfield within or adjacent to a settlement Therefore available within 0 - 5 years	\checkmark
ADR Therefore available within 5 - 10 years	
Green Belt	
Green Belt Therefore available beyond 10 years	

gness of landowner to progress site for development	Details
or issues which can be resolved within 5 years	\checkmark
bly, or with issues which can be resolved within 10	
sues which cannot be resolved	


Appropriate timeframe for development?	Details
0-5 years	\checkmark
5-10 years	
10years +	

Potential Residential Yield	
Appropriate Density	Total number of Dwellings
30dph	42

Redditch Borough Council Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment

MAOA SIZY ANA ATIS ƏNISUOH

50/3/2013	219) (BORLP4 site 2013/02	Studley Road/ Green Lane (former IN61)
Survey Date:	:teRef:	Site Address:



Ownership Details: Private	Site Area : 0.39 ha Grid Ref: SP0667 6468
Current Land Use: Vacant employment land	
Some industrial uses, high school, small amoun	t of residential, open countryside
Character of Surrounding Area:	
Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, WYG Report, or New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer etc) Considered appropriate for SHLAA analysis as a	
Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)	
Detailed Planning Permission: 🗸 Deta	ails: 06/397 – B1 uses (lapsed)
Outline Planning Permission:	
Additional Information/site notes: Extract from 2012 ELR: Planning consent for B1 expired. Planning consent has been associated considered unlikely that it is capable of delivery location on the Studley Road is in the vicinity of development. Therefore residential developmen suitable alternative use (subject to SHLAA asse	with this site since 2000 and it is for employment uses. The site's other small scale residential it on this site is considered to be a

Stage A	
Conformity with Strategic Policy for Development Distribution/Settlement Hierarchy	Details
Brownfield (previously developed) site that is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	
Greenfield or Green Belt site which is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	\checkmark
Any site (either brownfield, Greenfield or Green Belt) that is not within, or adjoining any settlement and is within Redditch Borough – site will be discounted	
Any site which falls within the WYG Study Boundary which may form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs beyond the Redditch Borough Boundary (site to be assessed under separate study)	

Is a scheduled Ancient Monument located on the site?	Details
Yes: Site will be discounted	
No: Does the site fall within or significantly affect any other site of designated international, regional or local value, or affect habitat for protected flora or fauna? Does the site affect trees, hedgerows or areas of ancient woodland not subject to statutory protection?	\checkmark
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity	\checkmark
Yes:	
Opportunity to enhance/no significant adverse impact	
Significant adverse impact (mitigation to be explored)	
Significant adverse impact – site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Land at risk of Flooding	
Is the site in an area of known flooding risk?	Details
No: Little/no risk of flooding	\checkmark
Yes:	
Zone 1 – Little or no risk	
Zone 2 – Low to medium risk (mitigation to be explored)	
Zone 3 – High risk – Site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Stage B	
Other Environmental Issues:	
Impact on the historic, cultural and built environment	
How would the site affect the setting and character of a Listed Building or Conservation Area? How would the site impact on the existing character of the Settlement?	
Opportunity to enhance/no adverse impact	\checkmark
Adverse impact/impact but could be mitigated	
Significant adverse impact that cannot be mitigated	

Sustainability: Access to Public Transport	
Is the site well related to a bus stop (or railway station)?	Details
Less than 400m (walking distance)	\checkmark
Between 400m and 800m (walking distance)	
Over 800m (walking distance) or ineffective service	

Walking distance to nearest first school:	
Less than 1.5km	√
Between 1.5km and 3km	
Over 3km	
Walking distance to nearest local retail facilities:	
Less than 800m	
Between 800m and 1600m	✓
Over 1600m	
Walking distance to nearest health facilities:	
Less than 800m	
Between 800m and 1600m	√
Over 1600m	

Constraints to Delivery	
Level of Contamination on Site:	
None	\checkmark
Contamination that can be overcome through land remediation	
High level of contamination that cannot be realistically mitigated	
Are there any Physical Constraints on site?	
No	\checkmark
Yes, but can be overcome	
Yes and cannot be overcome	
Are there TPOs on site?	
No	
A single TPO	
Group TPO	NT TPO No.25
Is there a Public Right of Way on the site?	
No 🗸	
Yes	

Open Space & Recreation		
Would the site result in the loss of these facilities?	Details	
No	\checkmark	
Yes, but indication of replacement provision possible on, next to or near to the site as part of the Development		
Yes. No possibility of replacement provision		

Employment Land		
Would development of the site result in the loss of	Details	
employment land?		
No		
Yes - <u>demonstrated</u> that land will not come forward for employment uses		
Yes - land is not likely to come forward for employment uses	Assessed in 2012 ELR and removed for consideration for residential use	
Yes - land is likely to come forward for employment uses		

Infrastructure Capacity	
Is the site considered adequately served by existing infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served?	Details
Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development	\checkmark
Infrastructure constraints that would require investment to overcome but can probably be addressed by developer contributions	
Significant infrastructure constraints, i.e. strategic infrastructure required which may require Government	
grants Highway Access	
Can the site be accessed by vehicle from the highway?	Details
Direct access to main/adopted road	\checkmark
Access to unadopted road/track	
No access	

Compatibility with adjoining uses		
Would development of the site for residential uses be compatible with existing and/or proposed adjoining uses?	Details	
No compatibility issues		
Insignificant or moderate compatibility issues	A noise survey would need to be undertaken to determine possible impacts on the site from neighbouring employment uses. Existing uses cannot be compromised by a residential proposal on this site, and as such, residential development would be considered inappropriate in this location if noise levels were excessive	
Residential development considered incompatible (discount site for residential)		

Stage C	
Availability	
Is the site immediately available for development?	
Yes	\checkmark
No	
What is the predominant land type on the site?	Details
Brownfield or Greenfield within or adjacent to a settlement Therefore available within 0 - 5 years	\checkmark
ADR	
Therefore available within 5 - 10 years Green Belt	
Therefore available beyond 10 years	


Willingness of landowner to progress site for development	Details
Yes, or issues which can be resolved within 5 years	\checkmark
Possibly, or with issues which can be resolved within 10 years	
No, issues which cannot be resolved	

Appropriate timeframe for development?	Details
0-5 years	~
5-10 years	
10years +	

Potential Residential Yield	
Appropriate Density	Total number of Dwellings
30 dph	12

Redditch Borough Council Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment

HOUSING SITE ANALYSIS FORM



Ownership Details: Private	Site Area: 0.01 ha Grid Ref: SP0402 6720			
Current Land Use:				
Vacant/ cleared for development				
Surrounding Land Uses:				
Minor business uses, some land cleared for redevelopment opportunities, Victorian/ Edwardian terraced housing				
Character of Surrounding Area:				
Older part of town close to Town Centre				
Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, WYG Report, omission site, other)				
New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer etc)				
Developer				
Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details) Detailed Planning Permission:	Details: 12/307 – consent for 14 flats and 3 retail units			
Outline Planning Permission:				
Previous Local Plan Allocation:				
Additional Information/site notes:				

Stage A	
Conformity with Strategic Policy for Development Distribution/Settlement Hierarchy	Details
Brownfield (previously developed) site that is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	\checkmark
Greenfield or Green Belt site which is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	
Any site (either brownfield, Greenfield or Green Belt) that is not within, or adjoining any settlement and is within Redditch Borough – site will be discounted	
Any site which falls within the WYG Study Boundary which may form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs beyond the Redditch Borough Boundary (site to be assessed under separate study)	

Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage	
Is a scheduled Ancient Monument located on the site?	Details
Yes: Site will be discounted	
No: Does the site fall within or significantly affect any other site of designated international, regional or local value, or affect habitat for protected flora or fauna? Does the site affect trees, hedgerows or areas of ancient woodland not subject to statutory protection?	\checkmark
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity	\checkmark
Yes:	
Opportunity to enhance/no significant adverse impact	
Significant adverse impact (mitigation to be explored)	
Significant adverse impact – site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Land at risk of Flooding	
Is the site in an area of known flooding risk?	Details
No: Little/no risk of flooding	\checkmark
Yes:	
Zone 1 – Little or no risk	
Zone 2 – Low to medium risk (mitigation to be explored)	
Zone 3 – High risk – Site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Stage B	
Other Environmental Issues:	
Impact on the historic, cultural and built environment	
How would the site affect the setting and character of a Listed Building or Conservation Area? How would the site impact on the existing character of the Settlement?	HER
Opportunity to enhance/no adverse impact	\checkmark
Adverse impact/impact but could be mitigated	
Significant adverse impact that cannot be mitigated	

Sustainability: Access to Public Transport	
Is the site well related to a bus stop (or railway station)?	Details
Less than 400m (walking distance)	\checkmark
Between 400m and 800m (walking distance)	
Over 800m (walking distance) or ineffective service	

Walking distance to nearest first school:	
Less than 1.5km	✓
Between 1.5km and 3km	
Over 3km	
Walking distance to nearest local retail facilities:	
Less than 800m	✓
Between 800m and 1600m	
Over 1600m	
Walking distance to nearest health facilities:	
Less than 800m	\checkmark
Between 800m and 1600m	
Over 1600m	

Constraints to Delivery	
Level of Contamination on Site:	
None	\checkmark
Contamination that can be overcome through land remediation	
High level of contamination that cannot be realistically mitigated	
Are there any Physical Constraints on site?	
No	
Yes, but can be overcome	✓ Steep slope
Yes and cannot be overcome	
Are there TPOs on site?	
No	\checkmark
A single TPO	
Group TPO	
Is there a Public Right of Way on the site?	
No 🗸	

Open Space & Recreation	
Would the site result in the loss of these facilities?	Details
No	\checkmark
Yes, but indication of replacement provision possible on, next to or near to the site as part of the Development	
Yes. No possibility of replacement provision	

Employment Land	
Would development of the site result in the loss of	Details
employment land?	
No	\checkmark
Yes - <u>demonstrated</u> that land will not come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is not likely to come forward for employment uses	
Yes - land is likely to come forward for employment uses	

Infrastructure Capacity	
Is the site considered adequately served by existing infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served?	Details
Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development	\checkmark
Infrastructure constraints that would require investment to overcome but can probably be addressed by developer contributions	
Significant infrastructure constraints, i.e. strategic infrastructure required which may require Government grants	
Highway Access	
Can the site be accessed by vehicle from the highway?	Details
Direct access to main/adopted road	\checkmark
Access to unadopted road/track	
No access	

Compatibility with adjoining uses	
Would development of the site for residential uses be compatible with existing and/or proposed adjoining uses?	Details
No compatibility issues	\checkmark
Insignificant or moderate compatibility issues	
Residential development considered incompatible (discount site for residential)	

Is the site immediately available for development?	
\checkmark	
Details	
\checkmark	


Willingness of landowner to progress site for development	Details
Yes, or issues which can be resolved within 5 years	\checkmark
Possibly, or with issues which can be resolved within 10 years	
No, issues which cannot be resolved	

Appropriate timeframe for development?	Details
0-5 years	\checkmark
5-10 years	
10years +	

Potential Residential Yield	
Appropriate Density	Total number of Dwellings
(Scheme)	14

Redditch Borough Council Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment

HOUSING SITE ANALYSIS FORM



Ownership Details:	Site Area: 0.10 ha
Private	Grid Ref: SP0360 6755
Current Land Use:	
Garden land	
Surrounding Land Uses:	
Predominantly residential, close proximity to T	own Centre
Character of Surrounding Area:	
Older part of town, close to Town Centre facili	ties
Previous Source: (e.g. BORLP3, UCS, WYG Report,	omission site, other)
New Source: (e.g. landowner, developer etc)	
Developer	
Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details)	
Detailed Planning Permission: 🗸 De	e tails: 12/161 – consent for 7 flats
Outline Planning Permission:	
Previous Local Plan Allocation:	
Additional Information/site notes:	

Stage A	
Conformity with Strategic Policy for Development Distribution/Settlement Hierarchy	Details
Brownfield (previously developed) site that is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	\checkmark
Greenfield or Green Belt site which is within or adjoining a settlement and is within Redditch Borough	
Any site (either brownfield, Greenfield or Green Belt) that is not within, or adjoining any settlement and is within Redditch Borough – site will be discounted	
Any site which falls within the WYG Study Boundary which may form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs beyond the Redditch Borough Boundary (site to be assessed under separate study)	

Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage	
Is a scheduled Ancient Monument located on the site?	Details
Yes: Site will be discounted	
No: Does the site fall within or significantly affect any other site of designated international, regional or local value, or affect habitat for protected flora or fauna? Does the site affect trees, hedgerows or areas of ancient woodland not subject to statutory protection?	\checkmark
No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity	\checkmark
Yes:	
Opportunity to enhance/no significant adverse impact	
Significant adverse impact (mitigation to be explored)	
Significant adverse impact – site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Land at risk of Flooding	
Is the site in an area of known flooding risk?	Details
No: Little/no risk of flooding	\checkmark
Yes:	
Zone 1 – Little or no risk	
Zone 2 – Low to medium risk (mitigation to be explored)	
Zone 3 – High risk – Site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced	

Stage B	
Other Environmental Issues:	
Impact on the historic, cultural and built environment	
How would the site affect the setting and character of a Listed Building or Conservation Area? How would the site impact on the existing character of the Settlement?	HER
Opportunity to enhance/no adverse impact	\checkmark
Adverse impact/impact but could be mitigated	
Significant adverse impact that cannot be mitigated	

Sustainability: Access to Public Transport	
Is the site well related to a bus stop (or railway station)?	Details
Less than 400m (walking distance)	\checkmark
Between 400m and 800m (walking distance)	
Over 800m (walking distance) or ineffective service	

Walking distance to nearest first school:	
Less than 1.5km	✓
Between 1.5km and 3km	
Over 3km	
Walking distance to nearest local retail facilities:	
Less than 800m	~
Between 800m and 1600m	
Over 1600m	
Walking distance to nearest health facilities:	
Less than 800m	\checkmark
Between 800m and 1600m	
Over 1600m	

Constraints to Delivery	
Level of Contamination on Site:	
None	\checkmark
Contamination that can be overcome through land remediation	
High level of contamination that cannot be realistically mitigated	
Are there any Physical Constraints on site?	
No	\checkmark
Yes, but can be overcome	
Yes and cannot be overcome	
Are there TPOs on site?	
No	\checkmark
A single TPO	
Group TPO	
Is there a Public Right of Way on the site?	
No 🗸	
Yes	

Open Space & Recreation		
Would the site result in the loss of these facilities?	Details	
No	\checkmark	
Yes, but indication of replacement provision possible on, next to or near to the site as part of the Development		
Yes. No possibility of replacement provision		

Employment Land		
Would development of the site result in the loss of	Details	
employment land?		
No	\checkmark	
Yes - <u>demonstrated</u> that land will not come forward for employment uses		
Yes - land is not likely to come forward for employment uses		
Yes - land is likely to come forward for employment uses		

Infrastructure Capacity	
Is the site considered adequately served by existing infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served?	Details
Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development	\checkmark
Infrastructure constraints that would require investment to overcome but can probably be addressed by developer contributions	
Significant infrastructure constraints, i.e. strategic infrastructure required which may require Government grants	-
Highway Access	
Can the site be accessed by vehicle from the highway?	Details
Direct access to main/adopted road	\checkmark
Access to unadopted road/track	
No access	

Compatibility with adjoining uses	
Would development of the site for residential uses be compatible with existing and/or proposed adjoining uses?	Details
No compatibility issues	\checkmark
Insignificant or moderate compatibility issues	
Residential development considered incompatible (discount site for residential)	

Stage C	
Availability	
Is the site immediately available for development?	
Yes	\checkmark
No	
What is the predominant land type on the site?	Details
Brownfield or Greenfield within or adjacent to a settlement Therefore available within 0 - 5 years	\checkmark
ADR	
Therefore available within 5 - 10 years Green Belt	
Therefore available beyond 10 years	

Willingness of landowner to progress site for development	Details
Yes, or issues which can be resolved within 5 years	\checkmark
Possibly, or with issues which can be resolved within 10 vears	
No, issues which cannot be resolved	

Appropriate timeframe for development?	Details
0-5 years	\checkmark
5-10 years	
10years +	

Potential Residential Yield	
Appropriate Density	Total number of Dwellings
(Scheme)	7